

Agenda

Bond Reimbursement and Grant Review Committee Meeting Agenda

April 16, 2007
8:00 am to 5:00 pm
State Board Auxiliary Room
801 W. 10th Street
Juneau, Alaska

Chair: Eddy Jeans

Monday, April 16th **Agenda Topics**

8:00 - 8:15 AM **Committee Preparation**

- Arrival, Packet Review

8:15 - 8:25 AM **Review and Approval of Agenda**

- New Facilities Staff Introduction
- New Business, Additions to the Agenda

8:25 - 9:30 AM **Staff Briefing**

- Legislative Overview, Final CIP Lists
- Preventive Maintenance Update: PM State-of-the-State Summary
- Revised Cost Model

9:30 AM - 3:45 PM **FY2009 CIP Application Review & Approval**

- FY2009 CIP Application
- FY2009 CIP Eligibility and Scoring Criteria
- FY2009 CIP Application Instructions
- FY2009 CIP Rater's Guide

10:00 – 10:15 AM **BREAK**

12:00- 1:00 PM **LUNCH**

3:30-3:45 PM **BREAK**

3:45-4:30 PM **FY2009 CIP Application Review & Approval (cont.)**

- Final Determinations/Revisions

4:30-5:00 PM **Work Plan Review**

- Work tasks
- Recommendations

5:00 PM **Recess or Adjourn**

By: Sam Kito III, P.E.

Date: April 16, 2007

Phone: 465-6906

File: 07-04-16 Staff Briefing

For: Bond Reimbursement and Grant
Review Committee

Subject: EED Facilities Overview

S T A F F B R I E F I N G

State Board Actions

- March 28th: Approval of the School Construction and Major Maintenance lists.

Legislative Overview

The Facilities section has no pending legislation. Funding in the capital budget is estimated at approximately \$27 Million that will provide funding for five projects on the School Construction list, and two projects on the Major Maintenance list that have prior funding from the legislature.

Information regarding current legislation can be accessed online at <http://www.legis.state.ak.us/basis>

FY08 CIP Lists

The initial agency lists for the FY2008 school construction and major maintenance grant funds were issued November 6th as required by AS 14.11.013 (November 5th fell on the weekend). The lists contain 42 and 165 projects respectively for a total of 207 eligible projects. This compares with 134 ranked projects on the Final FY2007 CIP lists. The FY2008 list includes projects from 36 of the 53 public school districts in the state, up 2 from the 34 districts represented in the final FY2007 list. 211 projects were submitted, 169 were rated and 4 were determined to be ineligible under the provisions of AS 14.11. On 42 of the 211 projects, the districts applied for re-use of their previous year's scoring. The 211 submitted projects also included requests for additional funds to complete 24 previously funded grant projects and 8 previously funded debt projects. These projects have been affected by the unforeseen rapid escalation in construction costs throughout the state.

The department received formal requests for reconsideration on 4 projects. The department's reconsideration determinations were not appealed. A copy of each list is included behind this briefing paper. In addition, a list showing each ranked project's scores in the twelve objective and twelve subjective scoring elements follows the two funding lists.

Publications Updates

Cost Model: The FY2009 CIP cycle will utilize a new 11th Edition of the Program Demand Cost Model. Revisions include significant price increases. Following review by the committee, the new edition will be posted online.

Architectural Services for School Facility Construction: This publication is approximately 75% complete and will be an active part of the section's work effort as time allows. A report will be provided to the BR/GR committee in December.

Preventive Maintenance Update

The department has begun the reassessment of all 53 Alaska school districts' Preventive Maintenance as it compares to 4 AAC 31.013 (Preventive Maintenance and Facility Management). To date, we have reassessed twenty-three districts. Of those, five were found to be out of compliance. All five have since submitted acceptable evidence that they are now complying with 4 AAC 31.013 (Preventive Maintenance and Facility Management) and have been recertified. The department has plans to revisit at least eight more districts in 2007, then the balance in 2008.

The department hopes to move the districts toward better utilization of the collected data in their day-to-day facility management efforts.

An updated PM State of State Report is included in the packet.

Application Review

The department recently reviewed two applications for debt reimbursement for traffic improvements to public ROW that were related to two school construction projects in two different parts of the state. A determination was made that these off-site road construction projects do not qualify for debt reimbursement from the Department of Education and Early Development.

The facilities section also received applications for debt reimbursement that included portions of project that were submitted by the districts for grant funding. As a matter of fairness, it was determined that if a project approved for grant consideration was approved by voters for debt reimbursement, the original grant project that included the debt project would not be recommended for funding if grant funding were to become available.

Staff Goals and Objectives

A/E Services Manual – The A/E Services Manual project was started a number of years ago, and is approximately 75% complete. This document will be reviewed by staff and a draft is anticipated to be available for the BR/GR Committee at the December 2007 meeting. The manual will provide school districts with guidance for selecting Architectural and Engineering consultants, and is a vital component of the reference documentation cited by the department in our project agreement.

Outdoor facilities – The facilities section also received applications for consideration of outdoor facilities. When considering elementary schools, it is generally accepted that a playground and associated equipment are eligible to be considered for funding in conjunction with a school project. Because of the variety and function of outdoor facilities for middle and high school's, and the high cost of many such facilities, the facilities section plans to review statutes and regulations, and develop recommendations for BR/GR Committee consideration at the December 2007 meeting.

Online application submittal – over the next year, staff plans to research the possibility of developing an online CIP Application. Data entry online for the CIP process has the potential to save a significant amount of staff time during CIP review time and will allow staff to concentrate on reviewing the substance of applications more thoroughly.

Database review – The Facilities Section currently operates with six separate, but interlinked databases that were developed over a long period of time. The goal of staff is to review these databases, and research the feasibility of incorporating them into one secure, integrated database.

Statute issues – An initial review of Statute has identified that the percentages for Construction Management by Consultant are not reflective of current needs, and may need to be modified. This item will be researched, and other items identified if necessary, for proposed statute changes, and reported back to the BR/GR Committee in December 2007.

Regulation issues – in addition to the review of statute, staff will also review regulation to identify an issues that may need clarification and report those items to the BR/GR Committee in December 2007.

Publications – Staff will review and propose updates to the publications managed by the Facilities Section, and provide updates on a regular basis to the BR/GR Committee.

Enclosures

1. Preventive Maintenance State-of-the-State
2. FY2008 CIP Lists

March 7, 2007

To: Bond Reimbursement and Grant Review Committee

From: Carl John, BR&GR Committee Member

RE: CIP Application List of Concerns

BR&GR Committee – Following are four items that those of use the prepare CIP applications at SERRC have found to be matters of concern. I would really like to have the first two items addressed (and possibly rectified) at our April 16 meeting. I addressed the first item, in part, in a letter to Eddy dated 12-14-05 but there have been no meetings since that date (letter attached). The second item is one that is always putting those needing additional space and the department at odds. The last two items are general housekeeping items (or clarification) that don't necessarily need to be acted upon by the Committee.

Thanks for taking the time to review the following, Carl

CIP Application

2a. Primary purpose of project

In choosing Categories A and E in particular and sometimes F, applications are forced to compete with those that are specifically using unhoused students to help uplift their scores. If a school district were to apply for;

- Removal of friable asbestos, or installation a water purification system or upgrade a waste water treatment plant, an application under Category A (Health and Life Safety) would be the proper application category. Although these projects would be regulatory driven like DEC, EPA or others, they are not really justified under Protection of Structure or Building Code Deficiencies. Their justification is basically to provide for the protection of human health and life safety.
- A project for retrofitting the lighting system or provide additional insulation in a school, a application under Category E (Achieve operating cost savings) would be the proper category as the project would be justified under potential cost savings and payback.
- A purely renovation project to replace end-of-life systems and components to provide for a better learning environment, an application under Category F would be the proper category.

Although the above projects are highly justified, they should compete on their own merit with major maintenance projects and not with school construction projects. In competing with school construction projects, no matter how dire the need, their scores will never be sufficient enough to outweigh the 80 points that can be gained for unhoused students. These-type projects will never receive funding using the existing ctegries.

Recommendation

Change the purpose of Categories A, E and F projects to be Major Maintenance instead of School Construction.

Definition

Temporary Facilities – *Current definition of a temporary facility means facilities, typically providing classroom or administrative space, of temporary construction, intended for use for a limited period of time, and installed with minimal site support and without full utility services or a foundation of permanent construction. 4 AAC 31.900(22)*

The way this definition is worded makes it a double edged sword. If a school district provides a modular classroom (intended for use until additional space is funded) and they provide electricity, water and sewer and yet place the building on a post and pad foundation, this space still becomes a part of the overall school space and now counts against them when determining unhoused students.

Recommendation

Consider change of definition to “temporary” as applied to facilities means facilities, typically providing classroom or administrative space intended for use for a limited period and not having a foundation of permanent construction

Allowable Space Worksheet – Current worksheet grabs a smaller number.

In the Allowable Square Footage worksheet, projected ADM numbers in Cells I-13 and I-14 are used to calculate the allowable square footage for the facility. However, instead of simply using the EED projected numbers from cells L29 and L30 in the ADM sheet, it uses an if then else formula (=IF(sdk6-FCrate>0.005,k6ADM,ADM!L26)) that results in the lower number between the district and EED projection being used to compute space.

This seems inconsistent. However the balancing is done between the district projections and the EED projections, whatever that final result is should be used for space calculations. For example, if the district’s average annual ADM change is negative the EED numbers will remain unchanged. Presumably this prevents a condition where a new facility would be unable to house the number of students projected at the time of completion. However, the way the Allowable Worksheet is set up now, the space calculation would be made on the district's negative projection, thus creating the condition above.

HMS Cost Model – It is my understanding that the HMS Cost Model is being revised this year. With recent escalations in construction costs, it would be beneficial to the state to have HMS improve the model to include a more detailed worksheet. Although this would undoubtedly have a greater upfront cost it should pay huge benefits if more accurate costs are generated

December 14, 2005

Eddy Jeans
Bond Reimbursement and Grant Review Committee Chair
800 Goldbelt Place
Juneau, AK 99801

Ref: Next BR&GR Committee Meeting Agenda

Dear Eddy;

I would like to request that an agenda item be included in our next BR&GR Committee meeting that is related to the school district categories listed in 2a of the CIP application.

I am continuing to have difficulty with the categories listed under *School Construction* and *Major Maintenance*. As everyone knows, unhoused students drive the need to add space to an existing school facility and the quantity of unhoused students (current and projected) is a huge point-getting element in the School Construction list and overshadows all of the other categories under that heading. Although Category A; Health and Life Safety and Category F; Improve Instructional Program fit somewhat into the construction model, projects using those categories will continually hover at the lower end of the "list".

I feel that Category E; Achieve Operating Cost Savings however, fits better under the heading of Major Maintenance, specifically in light of each district requiring to show effort in energy cost savings as dictated by AS 14.11.011. Looking at the list you can see that nearly all of the projects listed under School Construction are Category B, Unhoused Student projects. The reasoning for this is that all the other categories cannot be competitive with Unhoused Student projects, and, based on how slowly funding is made available by the Legislature on the School Construction list, districts try to position themselves so that their projects fit the Major Maintenance model instead. But, if a school district were to request funding for a lighting retrofit only, a project that would have a pay-back in 5 to 6 years (one that would save thousands of future dollars), and they are unable tie that project into a category C or D project application, they are fixed with listing it in the School Construction model where it could possibly never receive funding. In light of today's high energy costs, I believe that we are doing the school districts of our State a disservice by not giving them a fundable option to apply for stand-alone operating cost saving projects. Projects like lighting retrofits, window and door replacements and insulation upgrades are not being applied for because there is a feeling they will never receive funding anyway. Hundreds of thousands of dollars could be saved if districts were to become more proactive in seeking better ways to save money in the operation their facilities. Undoubtedly these saved monies could trickle-down to the classroom or possibly be used to better the facilities.

I request that the BR&GR committee be given the opportunity to discuss and possibly recommend a change question 2a of the CIP application before the FY 08 application is finalized. I realize that Statute has defined the categories in AS14.11.013 but I feel that a change is well warranted. I truly believe that making minor changes to question 2a is not only in the best interest of our school districts, but also in the best interest of the State of Alaska.

Thanks Eddy for reviewing my request.

Southeast Regional Resource Center



Carl John
Program Manager, Facilities

cc: BR&GR Committee

By: Sam Kito III, P.E.
Facilities Engineer
Phone: 465-6906

Date: April 16, 2007

File: g:\br&gr\papers
2007-04-16 SERRC
Response

For: Bond Reimbursement and Grant Review
Committee

Subject: Addressing issues raised by
SERRC

B R I E F I N G P A P E R

The Southeast Regional Resource Center submitted a letter suggesting that the department consider four items in review of the CIP application for FY 2009.

The four issues are summarized as follows:

1. Change primary purpose of categories A, E, and F to Major Maintenance
2. Change definition of “temporary” to be “facilities, typically providing classroom or administrative space intended for use for a limited period and not having a foundation of permanent construction.”
3. Allowable Space Worksheet
4. Update HMS Cost Model

The questions raised by SERRC will be addressed in the order presented in the letter.

Question one regarding the primary purpose of the project suggests that we allow projects requested under Categories A, E, and F, to be categorized under Major Maintenance where there will be a better chance of receiving funding due to concerns over competing with un-housed students. Alaska Statute clearly identify Categories A, E, and F as “school construction” [AS 14.11.135(7)]. Therefore in order to implement such a change, legislation will be required. It is not practical to implement this change for the FY09 CIP application.

Question two requests that the definition of “temporary” be modified. The current definition of “Temporary” in this context comes from 4 AAC 31.900(22) and provides that

“temporary” as applied to facilities means facilities, typically providing classroom or administrative space, of temporary construction, intended for use for a limited period of time, and installed with minimal site support and without full utility services or a foundation of permanent construction

SERRC asserts that this definition results in an inability of districts to claim un-housed students for students that are in re-locatable buildings that are connected to utilities.

One of the consequences of using the definition proposed by SERRC is that it would result in permanently un-housed students in communities such as Thorne Bay where classrooms are constructed on a float and connected to utilities. The net result for these facilities would be that every project submitted for these facilities would score high because of un-housed students.

Un-housed implies that there is not adequate space to house students in the school. If the students are housed in a permanent portable or re-locatable building, they are housed. The question then becomes one of adequacy of the facility. The department's focus should remain on housing un-housed students and dealing with emergency situations first, then to condition or adequacy of the space to house the school program.

Question 3 identified an issue with the Allowable Space Worksheet. The calculation did not account for a declining student population. If the difference between a district's ADM projection is less than 0.5%, the districts numbers were used, but if the difference is less than a negative 0.5%, the districts numbers were still used. The formula has been updated so that regardless of whether the population is increasing or declining, if the difference is less than 0.5%, the districts numbers will be used, otherwise the districts projected ADM will be averaged with the EED calculated value based on the historical average.

Question 4 suggests that the department consider updating the HMS Cost Model. This project is underway and a draft is provided for Committee review at this meeting.



Application for Funding
Capital Improvement Project by Grant
 or
State Aid for Debt Retirement

FY2009

For each funding request submit **one original and three complete copies of this application and two copies of each attachment.**

School District: _____
 Community: _____
 School Name: _____
 Project Name: _____

TYPE OF PROJECT AND FUNDING REQUEST

1. Type of funding requested (Choose only **one** funding source.)
 Grant Funding Aid for Debt Retirement (Bonding)

- 2a. Primary purpose of project (Choose only **one** category, per AS 14.11.013 for grant projects, or 14.11.100(j)(4) for debt retirement projects)

School Construction:	Major Maintenance:
<input type="checkbox"/> Health and life-safety (Category A, this category is not available for debt retirement)	<input type="checkbox"/> Protection of structure (Category C, this category is not available for debt retirement)
<input type="checkbox"/> Unhoused students (Category B; Category A for debt retirement)	<input type="checkbox"/> Building code deficiencies (Category D; Category B for debt retirement)
<input type="checkbox"/> Achieve operating cost savings (Category E; Category C for debt retirement)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Improve instructional program (Category F; Category D for debt retirement)	

- b. Phases of project to be covered by this funding request (Indicate **all** applicable phases)
 Planning (Phase I) Design (Phase II) Construction (Phase III)

- c. Is the work identified in this project request partially or fully complete? yes no
 (If the answer is yes, attach 2 copies of documentation that establishes compliance with 4 AAC 31.080 and please note the attachment in question 30.)

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 pt, Hanging: 16.55 pt

Formatted Table

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 pt, Hanging: 17.1 pt

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 pt, Hanging: 16.55 pt

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 pt, Hanging: 17.1 pt

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 pt, Hanging: 16.55 pt

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 pt, Hanging: 17.1 pt

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 pt, Hanging: 16.55 pt

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 pt, Hanging: 17.1 pt

Deleted: Health and life-safety (Category A) Protection of structure (Category C)¶
 Unhoused students (Category B) Building code deficiencies (Category D)¶
 Achieve operating cost savings ¶ (Category E)¶
 Improve instructional program¶ (Category F)¶

BASIC ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

Formatted Table

- 3. Has a six-year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) been approved by the district school board? yes no
(Refer to AS 14.11.011(b), attach a copy of the 6-year Plan.)
- 4. Does the school district have a functional fixed asset inventory system? yes no
(Refer to AS 14.11.011(b)(1).)
- 5. Is evidence of required insurance attached to this application or has evidence been submitted as required to the department? yes no
(Refer to AS 14.11.011(b)(2).)
- 6. Is the project a capital improvement project and not part of a preventive maintenance program or custodial care? yes no
(The scope of work as outlined in the project description, question 18, must meet the requirements of AS 14.11.011(b)(3).)

DISTRICT INFORMATION

- 7a. Districtwide maintenance expenditures for the last 5 years will be gathered by the department from audited financial statements. *(Costs for teacher housing, utilities, or expenditures for which reimbursement is being sought will be excluded. See instructions for specific accounting codes to be included.)*
- 7b. Districtwide replacement cost insurance values for the last 5 years will be gathered by the department from annual insurance certification and schedule of values.

EXISTING FACILITIES

- 8. The existing building(s) will be (check all that apply):
 renovated added to demolished surplus other
(If the project will result in demolition or surplus of building(s), provide for hazardous material abatement and demolition as part of the project. If the building(s) are state-owned or state-leased facilities, attach a transition plan for protection and disposal of the properties.)
- 9. What buildings or building portion (i.e. original building or addition) will be included in the scope of work of the project?
(The department will utilize GSF records to establish project points (up to 30) in the "Weighted Average Age of Facilities" scoring element. Refer to the EED Facilities Database at <http://www.eed.state.ak.us/Facilities/SchoolFacilityReport/SearchforSchoolFac.cfm> for facility number, name, year, and size information on record.)

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development

Facility #	Building or Building Portion	Year Built	GSF

RELATED FUNDING

10. Provide AS 14.11 administered grants that have already been appropriated by the legislature as partial funding in support of this project. This does not include debt retirement projects. (30 points possible for previous funding)

EED grant # _____

EED grant # _____

Deleted: What
Deleted: ?

11. Is the district applying for a waiver of participating share? yes no
(If the district is applying for a waiver, attach justification. Refer to AS 14.11.008(d) and Appendix E of the application instructions.)

Deleted: ¶

PROJECT INFORMATION

12. What is the rank of this project under the district's six-year Capital Improvement Plan? (30 points possible for CIP priority) Rank: _____

13. Does this project impact multiple facilities? yes no
(If the answer is yes, describe in the project description and provide applicable data as identified in the instructions.)

14. Is this project an emergency? (50 points possible) yes no
(Refer to AS 14.011.013(b)(1) and the instructions. If the answer is yes, the project description should describe the nature of the emergency and actions the district has taken to mitigate the emergency conditions.)

15. Will this project require acquisition of additional land or utilization of a new school site? yes no
(If the answer is yes, attach site description or site requirements. If a new site has been identified, attach the site selection analysis used to select the new site. Please note the attachment in question 30.)

16. Has a facility condition survey been completed? (5 points possible) yes no
(If the answer is yes, attach 2 copies and please note the attachment in question 30.)

Has a facility appraisal been completed? (5 points possible) yes no
(If the answer is yes, attach 2 copies and please note the attachment in question 30.)

Has work been completed on planning? (10 points possible) yes no
(If yes, attach documentation supporting planning as described in Appendix A, and please note the attachment in question 30.)

Formatted: Font: Italic
Formatted: Indent: Left: 36 pt
Formatted: Font: Italic
Formatted: Font: Italic

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development

Has work been completed on schematic design? (10 points possible)

yes no

(If yes, attach documentation supporting schematic design as described in Appendix A, and please note the attachment in question 30.)

Has work been completed on design development? (10 points possible)

yes no

(If yes, attach documentation supporting design development as described in Appendix A, and please note the attachment in question 30.)

Formatted Table

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Indent: Left: 36 pt, Hanging: 0.2 pt

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Indent: Left: 36 pt

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Deleted: -----Page Break-----

17. Project Description/Scope of Work: (50 points possible for description of severity of life/ safety and code issues)

(Refer to AS 14.11.011(b)(1) and to the instructions accompanying this form. Appendices A and C accompanying the instructions may be particularly helpful. If attached documentation is intended to address this question, please note the attachment in question 30.)

COST ESTIMATES

18. Complete the following tables using the Department of Education & Early Development's 11th edition Cost Model or an equivalent cost estimate. Completion of the tables is **mandatory**. (30 points possible)

Deleted: 10th

(Percentages are based on construction cost. See Appendix C. If your project exceeds the recommended percentages, add a detailed justification for each item exceeding the percentage.)

Table 1. TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE

Project Budget Category	Maximum % without justification	I	II	III	IV
		Prior AS 14.11 Funding	Current Project Request	% of Total Construction Cost	Project Total
CM - By Consultant ¹	2 - 4%				
Land ²					
Site Investigation ²					
Design Services	6 - 10%				
Construction ³					
Equipment ^{2,5}	0-10%				
District Administrative					
Overhead ⁴	2 - 9%				
Percent for Art ⁶	0.5 - 1%				
Project Contingency	5%				
Project Total					

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

1. Percentage is established by AS 14.11.020(c) for consultant contracts.
2. Include only if necessary for completion of this project.
3. Attach detailed construction cost estimate and life cycle cost if new-in-lieu-of-renovation.
4. Includes district/municipal/borough administrative costs.
5. See the department's publication, Guidelines for School Equipment Purchases. Technology is included with Equipment.
6. Required for all renovation and construction projects over \$250,000 (AS 35.27.020(d)).

Deleted: ; show "in-house" management as a separate cost. Maximum combined 'in-house' + consultant = 5%

Deleted: ; EED overhead excluded

Deleted: for per student and percentage limitations without justification

Table 2. CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE

Construction Category	New Construction			Renovation		
	Cost	GSF	Unit Cost	Cost	GSF	Unit Cost
Base Building Construction ²						
Special Requirements ¹		n/a			n/a	
Sitework and Utilities		n/a			n/a	
General Requirements		n/a			n/a	
Geographic Cost Factor		n/a			n/a	
Size Factor		n/a			n/a	
Contingency		n/a			n/a	
Escalation		n/a			n/a	
Construction Total						

1. Explain in detail and justify special requirements
2. If using the Cost Model, Base Construction = (1.0+2.0) otherwise, the Base Construction = the total construction cost less the costs that correspond with other cost categories in the table.

ATTENDANCE AREA AND AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIP (ADM)

Please Note: If you have classified this project as a Major Maintenance (Category C or D) and you are not including any new space skip to question 25. **All applications requesting new or replacement space must provide the information requested in this section.** For the purposes of this section, gross square footage is calculated in accordance with 4 AAC 31.020(e).

19. Indicate the student grade levels to be housed by in the proposed project facility: _____

20. Within the attendance area, is there any work (other than this project) that has been approved by local voters, or has been funded, or is in progress that houses any student grade levels included in the proposed project? yes no

(If the answer is yes, please provide information below about size, student capacity, and grades to be served in the table below.)

Project Name	GSF	Grades	Capacity
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

21. Within the attendance area, are there school facilities that house any student grade levels included in the proposed project? yes no

(If the answer is yes, please provide information below about size, student capacity, and grades served in the table below.)

School Name	GSF	Grades	Capacity
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

In lieu of data in the format above for questions 20 and 21, we are providing detailed attachments. <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no
--

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development

22. What is the anticipated date of occupancy for the proposed facility?
 (Provide a project schedule if available.) _____

23. In the table below provide the attendance area's current and projected ADM: (80 points possible for unhoused students)

Table 3. ATTENDANCE AREA ADM			
School Year	K-6 ADM	7-12 ADM	Total ADM
2006-2007			
2007-2008			
2008-2009			
2009-2010			
2010-2011			
2011-2012			
2012-2013			
2013-2014			
2014-2015			
2015-2016			

24. By what method(s) were ADM projections calculated?
 (Attach calculations and justifications.) _____

PROJECT SPACE

25. Completion of this table is mandatory for **all projects that add space or change existing space utilization**. If the project does not alter the configuration of the existing space, it is not necessary to complete this table. Use gross square feet for space entries in this table. (30 points possible available for type of space constructed)

Table 4. PROJECT SPACE EQUATION						
	A	I	II	III	IV	B
Space Utilization	Existing Space	Space to remain "as is"	Space to be Renovated	Space to be Demolished	New Space	Total Space upon Completion
Elem. Instructional/Resource						
Sec. Instructional/Resource						
Support Teaching						
General Support						
Supplementary						
Total School Space						

26. Describe inadequacies of existing space. Specifically address how the inadequacies impact the educational program and facility operations. (40 points possible for inadequacy of space)
 (Refer to 4 AAC 31.022 (c)(4). If attached documentation is intended to address this question, please note the attachment in question 30.)

ALTERNATIVE FACILITIES AND OPTIONS

27. List below any alternative regional, community, and school facilities in the area that are capable of housing students. (5 points possible)
(Refer to AS 14.11.013(b)(4). If attached documentation is intended to address this question, please note the attachment in question 30.)

28. Describe two or more viable options to this project that have been considered. (25 points possible)
(Refer to AS 14.11.013(b)(6). If attached documentation is intended to address this question, please note the attachment in question 30.)

29. Quantify the project's annual operational cost savings, if any, in relation to the project total cost. (30 points possible)
(Refer to 4 ACC 31.022(c)(3). If attached documentation is intended to address this question, please note the attachment in question 30.)

ATTACHMENTS

30. Please check to indicate all items that are attached to this application and note that two copies of each attachment should be included. Attachments designated as **Required** must be included for the application to be considered complete. Some items may not be applicable to specific projects.

- Documentation establishing compliance with 4 AAC 31.080 (question 2c)
- Six-year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) (question 3); **Required for eligibility**
- Description of maintenance and facilities management program (question 31); **Required for eligibility**
- Transition plan for state-owned or state-leased properties (question 8)
- Justification for waiver of participating share (question 11)
- Site description, site requirements, and/or site selection analysis (question 15)
- Facility condition survey (question 16)
- Facility Appraisal (question 16 and Appendix A)**
- Cost/benefit analysis (questions 17, 18, 28, 29)
- Life cycle cost analysis (questions 17, 18, 28, 29)
- Value analysis provided (question 17, 18, 28, 29)
- Budget variance justification (question 18)
- Cost estimate worksheets (question 18)
- Capacity calculations of affected schools in the attendance area/areas (question 20, 21)
- Enrollment projections and calculations (question 23)
- Appropriate compliance reports (i.e., Fire Marshal, AHERA, ADA, etc.)

Deleted: ¶
¶

FACILITY MANAGEMENT

31. Provide documents related to the district's maintenance and facility management program. Include management reports, renewal and replacement schedules, work orders, energy reports, training schedules, custodial activities, and any other documentation that will enhance the requirements listed in the instructions. (Refer to AS 14.11.011(b)(1), AS 14.11.011(b)(4), AS 14.14.090(10), 4 AAC 31.013 and accompanying instructions. Note attached documentation in question 30.) (55 points possible)

CERTIFICATION

32. I hereby certify that this information is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and that the application has been prepared under the direction of the district school board and is submitted in accordance with law.

Superintendent or Chief School Administrator

Date

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development



Instructions for completing the **Application for Funding** for a **Capital Improvement Project**

FY2009

Use these instructions with Alaska Department of Education & Early Development AKEED Form #05-95-017a, Rev 4/2007

Application for Funding Capital Improvement Project by Grant or State Aid for Debt Retirement.
Numbered paragraphs below correspond to numbered questions on the application.

Unless otherwise indicated, each question on the application form must be answered in order for the application to be considered complete. **Only complete applications will be accepted. Incomplete applications will be returned unranked.** The project name on the first page of the application should be consistent with project titles approved by the district school board and submitted with the six-year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP). Please submit *one original and three complete copies* of each application and *two copies of each attachment*.

Project scope and budget may be altered based on the department's review and evaluation of the application. The department will correct errors noted in the application and make necessary increases or decreases to the project budget. The department may decrease the project scope, but will not increase the project scope beyond that requested in the original application submitted by the September 1 deadline.

TYPE OF PROJECT AND FUNDING REQUEST

1. Check one box to indicate which type of state aid is being requested.

- 2a. Check one box to indicate the primary purpose of the project. Each application should be for a single project for a particular facility, and should be independently justified. The district may include work in other categories in a proposed project. These projects will be reviewed and evaluated as mixed-scope projects. Refer to Appendix B of these instructions for descriptions of categories and the limitations associated with category C and category D projects. Application of scoring criteria will be on a weighted basis for mixed scope projects.

- b. Check the applicable phase(s) covered by this funding request. Refer to Appendix A for descriptions of phases.

- c. Indicate whether the work identified by the project request is partially or fully complete. If the construction work is partially or fully complete, please attach documentation that establishes that the construction was procured in accordance with 4 AAC 31.080 CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION OF PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITIES. For projects that contracted construction services, attach construction and bid documents

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development

utilized to bid the work, advertising information, bid tabulation, construction contract, and performance and payment bonds for contracts exceeding \$100,000. For projects that utilized in-house labor, attach the EED approval of the use of in-house labor.

BASIC ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

3. Attach a six-year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) for the district. Use AKEED Form 05-96-006.
4. The district does not need to submit any fixed asset inventory system information to the department as part of the CIP application. In awarding points, the department will review the district's most recently submitted annual audit for information regarding its fixed asset inventory system. Audits requiring corrective action of the district's fixed asset inventory system will receive 0 points. Audits that do not reflect such findings will receive 10 points. School districts that do not have a functioning fixed asset inventory system (i.e., cannot be audited) will be ineligible for grant funding under AS 14.11.011.
5. The department may not award a school construction grant to a district that does not have replacement cost property insurance. AS 14.03.150, AS 14.11.011(b)(2) and 4 AAC 31.200 set forth property insurance requirements.
6. AS 14.11.011(b)(3) requires a district to provide evidence that the funding request is for a capital project and not part of a preventive maintenance or regular custodial care program. Refer to Appendix D for an explanation of maintenance activities.

DISTRICT INFORMATION

7. The department will calculate these items based on the Alaska Department of Education & Early Development Uniform Chart of Accounts and Account Code Descriptions for Public School Districts, 2000 Edition annual audited district-wide operations expenditure as the sum of Function 600 Operations & Maintenance of Plant expenditures in Funds 100 General Fund and 500 Capital Project Fund, excluding Object Code 430 Utilities, Object Code 435 Energy, Object Code 445 Insurance, all expenditures for teacher housing, and capital projects funded through AS 14.11. In addition, expenditures included in this calculation will not be eligible for reimbursement under AS 14.11. [Note: This information is used in calculating scores for Assessment 4; see Question 31.]

Deleted: Ba

Deleted: ,

Deleted: calculate the

EXISTING FACILITIES

8. The response to this question should be consistent with the space utilization table in question 25. Projects that will result in demolition or surplus of existing state-owned or state-leased facilities should include a detailed plan for transition from existing facilities to replacement facilities. If a facility is to be surplus or demolished, the project must provide for the abatement of all hazardous materials as part of the project.

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development

The transition plan should describe how surplus state-owned or state-leased facilities will be secured and maintained during transition.

9. This question requests information on the year the facility was constructed and size of each element of the facility to establish the weighted average age of facilities score. If a project's scope of work is limited to a portion of a building (i.e., the original or a specific addition), the age of *that building portion* will be used in the weighted average age of facilities point calculation. If the project's scope of work expands to multiple portions of a building, the ages of *all building portions receiving work* will be used in the weighted average age of facilities point calculation. For new school projects, provide building data for existing buildings in the attendance area that serve the same grade levels as the proposed facility. *Year built* refers to the year the original facility and any additions were completed or were first occupied for educational purposes. If a date of construction is not available, use an estimate indicated by an (*). *Gross square footage (GSF)* of each addition should be the amount of space added to the original facility. *Total size* should equal the total square footage of the existing facility. There are up to 30 points possible depending on the age of the building. Facility number, name, year built, and size are available online at:

<http://www.eed.state.ak.us/Facilities/SchoolFacilityReport/SearchforSchoolFac.cfm>

RELATED FUNDING

10. Prior state funding refers to **grant funds appropriated by the legislature to the department and administered under AS 14.11 as partial funding for this project only**. Any amounts noted here should also be included in Table 1 of the Cost Estimate, Question #18. No other fund sources apply, including debt retirement. There are up to 30 points available if a project includes previous grant funding under AS 14.11.
11. Waivers of participating share should be in accordance with AS 14.11.008(d). Justification should be documented. See Appendix E in the attachments to these instructions for detailed information.

PROJECT INFORMATION

12. The district ranking of each project application must be a unique number approved by the district school board and must place each discrete project in priority sequence. The project having the highest priority should receive a ranking of one, and each additional project application of lower priority should be assigned a unique number in priority order. The department will accept only one project with a district ranking of priority one. The ranking of each application should be consistent with the board-approved six-year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP). Please refer to AS 14.11.013(b)(2). Both major maintenance projects and school construction projects should be combined into a single

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development

six-year plan. There are up to 30 points available for a district's #1 priority. Points drop off at increments of 3 for each corresponding drop in district priority ranking.

13. If this project (1) will result in renovated or additional educational space, and (2) will serve students of the same grade levels currently housed or projected to be housed in other schools, the project description should indicate:
- the attendance areas that will be impacted (i.e. will contribute students) by this project,
 - the current and projected student populations in each facility (school) affected by the project, and
 - the EED net square footage for each affected facility (school) in the attendance area.

Note: for schools housing a combination of elementary and secondary grades, the space allocated to elementary (K-6) and secondary (7-12) may be necessary.

14. Refer to AS 14.11.013(b)(1). If this project is an emergency, the project description shall describe:
- the nature of the emergency,
 - the facility condition related to the emergency,
 - the threat to students and staff,
 - the consequence of continued utilization of the facility,
 - the individuals or groups affected by the condition,
 - what action the district has taken to mitigate the emergency conditions, and
 - the extent to which any portion of the project is eligible for insurance reimbursement or emergency funding from any state or federal agency.

Evaluation of the emergency will consider all of the information submitted and the responses to each of the emergency elements noted in these instructions. Based on the information submitted in the narrative and other portions of the application, the emergency condition can generate up to 50 possible points.

15. *Acquisition of additional land* refers to expansion of an existing school site using property immediately adjacent to, or in close proximity to, the existing school site. Land acquisition may result from long-term lease, purchase, or donation of land. *Utilization of a new school site* refers to use of a site previously acquired by the district, or a new site acquired as a result of this application and not previously utilized as a public school. If the project site is not yet known, the site description should be the district's best estimate of specific site requirements for the project, and it should be included in the project description. The department's 1997 publication, *Site Selection Criteria and Evaluation Handbook*, may be useful in responding to this question. A site selection study is required for those projects involving new sites in order to qualify for schematic design points (reference Appendix A).

16. There are four distinct items in this question. Each one has the potential to generate points.

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development

A *facility condition survey* is a technical survey of facilities and buildings, using the department's Guide for School Facility Condition Survey or a similar format, for the purpose of determining compliance with established building codes and standards for safety, maintenance, repair, and operation. Portions of the condition survey, such as that information pertaining to building codes and analysis of structural and engineered systems including site assessment, will need to be completed by an architect and/or an engineer. Someone reasonably familiar with the building and its components may complete portions of the condition survey that document the condition of building elements. A facility condition survey is optional, however, a facility condition survey document is useful to the department in evaluating the overall merits of the project request. To receive points for this item, a facility condition survey needs to be less than four years old. There are up to 5 points possible for a complete conditions survey.

Deleted: should

Deleted: someone with training equivalent to that of

Deleted: Currency of the survey should be addressed. It is doubtful that a survey four or more years old adequately describes current facility conditions

A *facility appraisal* is an educational adequacy appraisal following the format of the Council of Educational Facility Planners, International "Guide for School Facility Appraisal (1998)". An appraisal is optional; however, an appraisal document is useful to the department in evaluating the overall merits of the project request. There are up to 5 points possible for a complete facility appraisal.

Deleted: similar to that published by the

Deleted: and the format of the document are

Planning work includes the items listed under planning in Appendix A of this documents. There are up to 10 points possible for completed planning work.

Schematic design work includes the items listed under schematic design in Appendix A of this document. There are up to 10 points possible for completed schematic design work.

Design development work includes items listed under design development in Appendix A of this document. There are up to 10 points possible for completed design development work.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION/SCOPE OF WORK

17. The project description/scope of work should include (1) a detailed description of the project, (2) documentation of the conditions justifying the project, (3) a description of the scope of the project and what the project will accomplish, and (4) information or detail related to the project's cost. If the construction of a new school is proposed, describe any code issues at existing facilities in the attendance area that will be relieved by the project. The scope should also contain sufficient quantifiable analysis to show the project is in the best interest of both the district and the state. The project description/scope of work is a good place to include responses to questions 6, 8, 13, 14, 15, and 16, where applicable. There are up to 50 points possible for descriptions identifying the severity of life safety issues addressed by the project.

Question #6: Statute requires the district to provide sufficient evidence that the project is not preventive maintenance, routine maintenance, or custodial care. Refer to Appendix D

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development

of these instructions for information regarding the definitions of maintenance terms related to this question.

Question #8: When a new, renovation, new-in-lieu-of-renewal, or Category E project is proposed, the project description shall include a **detailed cost/benefit analysis and a life cycle cost analysis**. These documents shall provide data documenting conditions that justify the project [AS 14.11.011(b)(1)]. If these documents are attached, they can be referenced rather than reproduced in the project description. The detailed plan for demolishing or surplus state-owned or leased properties, should incorporate a draft of the department's Form 05-96-007, Excess Building. For the CIP process, furnish building data and general information; signatures and board resolutions may be excluded

Formatted: Font: Bold

Question #13: If the project impacts multiple facilities, the project description shall identify the facilities impacted and describe how each will be impacted. This applies to district wide projects as well as projects adding space. For projects adding space, use question #21 to summarize gross square footage and student capacity of the impacted facilities.

Question #14: If the project is an emergency, the description shall address all the items specified in the instructions for question 14.

Question #15: Site description should include location, size, availability, cost and other pertinent information as appropriate. If a site selection and evaluation report is attached, the information can be referenced with a brief summary rather than being reproduced in this section.

Question #16: If a facility condition survey is attached, it can be referenced rather than reproduced in the descriptions of project need, justification, and scope.

Cost Estimate Support: The project description shall include sufficient information to support meaningful evaluation of the project cost and the reasonableness of the cost estimate. Though basic cost information is to be incorporated into Tables 1 and 2 of question 18, many cost elements reported in standard estimates will require further explanation or support. This is especially true for lump-sum elements used in the department's cost model in sitework and utilities. The project description and cost estimate should be increasingly detailed as project phases advance.

The description of project scope should include information that will allow the department to evaluate the criteria specified in AS 14.11.013. Please refer to Appendix C for guidelines covering project cost estimate percentages for factored cost items.

COST ESTIMATES

18. For all applications, including those for planning and design, cost estimates should be based on the district's most recent information and should address the project being

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development

requested. Refer to Appendix C for descriptions of elements of the total project cost. The cost estimate should be of sufficient detail that its reasonableness can be evaluated. If a project is projected to cost significantly more than would be predicted by the Department's Program Demand Cost Model (11th Edition), provide attachments justifying the higher cost. If there are special requirements, a detailed explanation and justification should be provided in the project description/scope of work.

Deleted: 10th

In Table 1 all prior AS 14.11 funding for this project should be listed by category and totaled in Column I. If a grant has not been issued, but an appropriation has been made, use the appropriated amount plus participating share in lieu of the issued grant or bond amount. Column II should list the amount of funding being requested in this application, by category and in total. Column III should show a percentage breakdown for the total project allocated costs as a percentage of the total construction cost. Column IV should list the total project cost estimate from inception to completion, all phases. Calculate the percent of construction for all cost categories except Land and Site Investigation. To calculate the percent of construction divide the category costs by the Construction cost and multiply by 100%. Use Column IV costs to calculate the percent of construction. Other categories should be within the ranges listed. Construction Management (CM) by consultant must be less than 4% if the total project cost is less than or equal to \$500,000; 3% for project costs between \$500,000 - \$5,000,000; and 2% for projects of \$5,000,000 or greater [AS14.11.020(c)]. The percent for art, required for all renovation and construction projects, is given a separate line. Project Contingency is fixed at 5%. The total project cost should not exceed 130% of construction cost, excluding land and site investigation. If your project exceeds the recommended overall guideline, please add a detailed justification for each category that exceeds the specific sub-category guidelines.

Deleted: broken down into two sub-categories: "consultant" and "in-house". CM costs associated with each should be reported. EED overhead is provided for outside of the project funds.

Deleted: now

Deleted: , rather than applying the previous range of 3%-5%

Deleted: total

Deleted: still

Table 2, which summarizes construction costs, is structured to be consistent with the EED cost model. Other estimating formats may not provide an exact correlation, however, the following categories must be reported to allow adequate comparisons between projects: basic building, site work and utilities, general requirements, contingency, and escalation.

Include an attachment with any additional information regarding project cost that may aid in evaluating the reasonableness of the cost estimate. Documents may include a life cycle cost analysis, cost benefit analysis, bid documents, actual cost estimates, final billing statement for completed projects, and any additional supporting documentation justifying projects costs.

Deleted: as

Deleted: (

Deleted: including

Deleted: and

Deleted:)

Up to 30 points are possible for reasonableness and completeness of the cost estimate provided in support of the project.

ATTENDANCE AREA AND AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIP (ADM)

NOTE: Gross square footage entries in this section should reflect the measurements specified by 4 AAC 31.020. Space variance requests not already approved by the

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development

department must be submitted in accordance with 4 AAC 31.020 by the application deadline in order to receive consideration with the current request.

19. The response to this question should reflect the grade levels that will be served by the facility at the completion of the project.
20. Any additional square footage that is funded for construction or approved by local voters for construction should be described, showing student capacity, additional GSF, and grade levels to be served. Include these projects in any capacity/unhoused calculations provided in the year of anticipated occupancy.
21. List all schools in the attendance area that serve grade levels equivalent to those of the proposed project. If the project includes any elementary grades, all schools in the attendance area serving elementary students are to be listed. If the project includes any secondary grades, all schools in the attendance area serving secondary students are to be listed. For each school listed include its size, the grades served, and the school's total student capacity. Use the department's Capacity Worksheet to calculate the total student capacity for each school. Please note that the Capacity Worksheet has been revised to reflect the regulatory changes to 4 AAC 31.020. The Capacity Worksheet is a MS Excel file and is available on the department's web site:
<http://www.eed.state.ak.us/facilities/FacilitiesCIP.html>
22. The date provided here should be the anticipated date the facility will be occupied. This will be the starting point for looking at five-year post-occupancy population projections. If a project schedule is available it should be provided to substantiate the projected date.
23. All projects that are adding new space must complete Table 3. ATTENDANCE AREA ADM. There are 80 possible points available for unhoused students depending on severity.
24. Identify the method(s) that were utilized to determine the student population projections listed in Table 3. The department will compare the projections to historic growth trends for the attendance area. The department will revise population projections that exceed historical growth rates, show disparate growth between elementary and secondary populations, or are unlikely to be sustained as an attendance area's overall population grows. The application should include student population projection calculations and sufficient demographic information (i.e. housing construction, economic development, etc.) to justify the project's population projection.

PROJECT SPACE EQUATION

25. This table summarizes space utilization in the proposed project expressed in gross square feet. Space figures represented should tabulate to match the gross building square footages reported in question 9 as well as those shown in Table 2 of the cost estimate

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development

section. The worksheet at Appendix F lists types of school space that fit in each category. There are up to 30 points possible for the type of space being constructed.

26. Describe the inadequacies of the existing space. Inadequacies can vary from quality of space to amount of space to the configuration of the space. The response should also address how the inadequacies impact the educational program and whether the educational program is a mandatory, existing local, or new local program. There are up to 40 points possible for description of mandated educational programs, up to 20 points are available for existing local educational programs, and up to 15 points are available for new local programs.

ALTERNATIVE FACILITIES AND OPTIONS

27. Statutes require an evaluation of other facilities in the area that may serve as an alternative to accomplishing the project as submitted. Information regarding the availability of such facilities and the effort (i.e. cost, time, etc.) required to make the facility usable for the school needs represented by the project should be provided. The area is not restricted to the attendance area served by the project. There are up to 5 points available for an adequate description showing that the district has considered alternatives to the proposed project for housing unhoused students.
28. In an effort to support the project, as submitted, as the best possible solution to school facility needs, districts should consider a full range of options during planning and project development. Options should address the specific scope of the project and the delivery of the project (phasing of the work, in-house labor, etc.). For example, projects that propose construction of a new school should discuss other options such as renovation of the existing building or acquisition of alternative facilities and provide an explanation as to why these options were not selected. A project that proposes roof replacement should discuss the merits of different roofing materials, the addition of insulation, or even altering the roof slope and provide an explanation as to why these options were not selected. If the proposed project will add new or additional space, districts must consider service center boundary changes and any space available in adjacent attendance areas that are connected by road. At least one of the options considered must be an evaluation of potential boundary changes. Scoring in this area will be related to factors such as: the range of options, the rigor of comparison, the viability of options considered, and the quality of data supporting the analysis of the option. Options also need to consider the results of cost benefit analysis, life cycle cost analysis, and value analysis. There are up to 25 points available for a comprehensive discussion on the options considered by the district that would accomplish the same goals as the proposed project.
29. Operational Cost vs. Project Cost: Information (and evaluation points) related to operational costs is not limited to Category E projects. The project cost and its impact on operational costs is an important consideration for any project. The project description should include a discussion of ways in which the completion of the project would reduce current operational costs. Considerations could cover energy costs, costs related to wear-

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development

and-tear, maintenance of existing facilities costs, and costs incurred by current functional inadequacies at the facility and attendance area level. For new facilities, consideration should be given to design choices that will provide periodic and long-term savings in the operation and maintenance of the facility.

Although the addition of square footage is certain to increase overall operational costs, project descriptions for this category of project should include information on methods and strategies used to minimize operational costs over the life of the building. This can include cost benefit analyses that were accomplished on building systems and materials, etc. There are up to 30 points possible for a full and complete description of the costs of the project including life-cycle costs and cost benefit analysis.

ATTACHMENTS

30. The attachments checklist is provided for your and the department's convenience to identify additional materials that are referenced in support of the project. Please check to see that your application is complete and indicate additional attachments the department should reference while evaluating the project.

FACILITY MANAGEMENT

31. AS 14.11.011(b)(1) and 4 AAC 31.011(b)(2) require each school district to include with this application a description of its preventive maintenance program, as defined by AS 14.11.011(b)(4), AS 14.14.090(10), and 4 AAC 31.013. Refer to Appendix D for details. The scoring criteria for this area now reflect efforts beyond just preventive maintenance. For each element of a qualifying plan outlined in 4 AAC 31.013, documents, including reports, narratives and schedules have been identified for nine separate assessments. These documents will establish the extent to which districts have moved beyond the minimum eligibility criteria and have tools in place for the active management of all aspects of their facility management. The documents necessary for each assessment are listed below. They are grouped according to the five areas of effort established in statute and are annotated as to the type of evaluation (i.e., subjective or objective). A district should provide any or all of the documents they have available. Refer to the Rater's Guide for additional information on scoring. There are up to 55 points possible for a clear and complete reporting of the district's preventative maintenance program.

Maintenance Management

Assessment #1 (Subjective):

Provide a narrative description of the effectiveness of your work order based maintenance management system.

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development

How *effective* is your work order-based maintenance management system? How do you assess effectiveness? Describe the formal system in place that tracks timing and costs as stated in regulation and attach documentation (sample work orders, etc.).

Assessment #2 (Objective):

Item A: Produce a districtwide report showing total maintenance labor hours collected on work orders by type of work [e.g., scheduled, corrective, operations support, etc.] vs. labor hours available by month for the previous 12 months.

Item B: Produce a districtwide report that shows scheduled and completed work orders by month, for the previous 12 months.

Item C: Produce a districtwide report showing the number of incomplete work orders sorted by age [30 days, 60 days, 90 days, etc.] and status. [deferred, awaiting materials, scheduled, etc.]

These reports will demonstrate a district's ability to manage maintenance activities related to the level and scope of labor requirements.

Assessment #3 (Objective):

Item A: Provide a districtwide report that compares scheduled maintenance work order hours to unscheduled maintenance work order hours by month for the previous 12 months.

Item B: Provide a districtwide report with monthly trend data for unscheduled work orders showing both hours and numbers of work orders by month for the previous 12 months.

These reports support the district's ability to manage maintenance activities related to scheduled maintenance and unscheduled work. One factor in determining the effectiveness of a preventive maintenance program is a comparison of the time and costs of scheduled maintenance in relation to the time and costs of unscheduled maintenance.

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development

Assessment #4 (Objective):

The 5-year average expenditure for maintenance divided by the 5-year average insured replacement value, district wide. [This information is provided in application question #7 and in district insurance records submitted separately to the department. No other information need be submitted.]

Deleted: districtwide

Energy Management

Assessment #5 (Subjective):

Provide a narrative description of the district's energy management program and energy reduction plan.

Address how the district is engaged in reducing energy consumption in its facilities. Energy *management* should address energy utilization with the goal of reducing consumption. This objective can be achieved through a number of methods: some related to the building's systems, some related to the way the facilities are being used. The results of the energy management program should also be discussed.

Custodial Program

Assessment #6 (Subjective):

Provide a narrative description of the district's custodial program and evidence to show it was developed using data related to inventories and frequency of care.

Minimal custodial programs do not have to be quantity-based nor time-based relative to the level of care. Quality custodial programs take both these factors into account and customize a custodial plan for a facility on the known quantities and industry standards for a given activity (i.e., vacuuming carpet, dusting horizontal surfaces, etc). Describe how your scope of custodial services is directly related to the type of surfaces and fixtures to be cleaned, the quantity of those items, and the frequency of the care for each. Describe how the district has customized its program to deal with different surfaces and care needs on a site-by-site basis.

Maintenance Training

Assessment #7 (Subjective):

Provide a narrative description of the district's training program including but not limited to: identification of training needs, training methods, and numbers of staff receiving building-system-specific training in the past 12 months.

Training could include on-the-job training of junior personnel by qualified technicians on staff. For systems or components that are scheduled for replacement, or have been replaced as part of a capital project, manufacturer or vendor training could be made available to the maintenance staff to attain these goals and objectives. In-service training as well as on-line training could be provided for the entire staff. Safety and equipment specific videos are also an inexpensive training resource.

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development

Capital Planning (Renewal & Replacement)

Assessment #8 (Subjective):

Provide a narrative giving evidence the district has a process for developing a long-range plan for capital renewal.

Discuss the district's process for identifying capital renewal needs. Renewal and replacement schedules can form the basis for this work, but building user input should also be considered. It is important to move the capital planning process from general data on renewal schedules to actual assessments of conditions on site. This helps to validate the process and allows the district to create capital projects that reflect actual needs. A final step would be to review the systems needing replacement and to organize the work into logical projects (e.g., if a fire alarm and roof are confirmed to be in need of renewal, they may need to be placed in separate projects versus renewal of a fire alarm and lighting which could be effectively grouped in a single project).

CERTIFICATION

32. Please be sure the application is signed by the appropriate official. Unsigned applications cannot be accepted for ranking.

Application packages should be submitted to:
Alaska Department of Education & Early Development
Division of School Finance, Facilities
801 W. 10th Street, Suite 200
P.O. Box 110500
Juneau, AK 99811-0500

For further information contact:

Sam Kito III, P.E., School Facilities Engineer
(907) 465-6906

Deleted: Eddy Jeans

Deleted: Director

Deleted: 8679

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development
APPENDIX A: CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT PHASES
Adopted by the Bond Reimbursement & Grant Review Committee
April ~~16~~, 2007

Deleted: 12

Deleted: 4

The application form requires designation of the phase(s) for which the district requests funding. Below is a basic scope of effort for each phase. Items marked **Required** are mandatory (where project type dictates) in order for projects to receive planning, schematic design and/or design development points. Required documents must be or must have been submitted and received by the department by September 1st.

PHASE I-PLANNING (10 points possible)

1. Select architectural or engineering consultants (if needed)(4 AAC 31.065) - **(Required)**
2. Prepare a school facility appraisal (as required) (see application question 16)
3. Prepare a facility condition survey (as required) (see application question 16)
4. Identify need category of project - **(Required)**
5. Verify student populations and trends - **(Required)**
6. Complete education specifications (design the educational program - 4AAC 31.010) - **(Required)**
7. Identify site requirements and potential sites - **(Required)**
8. Complete concept design studies and planning cost estimate - **(Required)**

PHASE IIA - SCHEMATIC DESIGN (10 points possible)

1. Perform site evaluation and site selection analysis (4AAC 31.025) - **(Required)**
2. Prepare plan for transition from old site to new site, if applicable - **(Required)**
3. Accomplish site survey and perform preliminary site investigation (topography, geotechnical)
4. Obtain letter of commitment from the landowner allowing for purchase or lease of site - **(Required)**
5. Complete schematic design documents including dimensioned site plans, floor plans, elevations and engineering narratives for all necessary disciplines - **(Required)**
6. Complete preliminary cost estimate appropriate to the phase - **(Required)**

PHASE IIB-DESIGN DEVELOPMENT (10 points possible)

1. Complete suggested elements of planning/design not finished in the previous phases - **(Required)**
2. Review and confirm planning (4AAC 31.030)
3. Accomplish a condition survey relevant to scope - **(Required if project includes renovation)**
4. Obtain option to purchase or lease site at an agreed upon price and terms - **(Required)**
5. Complete design development documents - **(Required)**
6. Prepare proposed schedule and method of construction
7. Prepare revised cost estimate appropriate to the phase - **(Required)**

PHASE III-CONSTRUCTION

1. Complete suggested elements of planning and design not previously completed - **(Required)**
2. Prepare final cost estimate
3. Complete final contract documents and legal review of construction documents (4AAC 31.040)
4. Advertising, bidding and contract award (4AAC 31.080)
5. Submit signed construction contract
6. Construct project
7. Procure furniture, fixtures and equipment, if applicable
8. Substantial completion
9. Final completion and move-in
10. Post occupancy survey
11. Obtain project audit/close out

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development
APPENDIX B: CATEGORIES OF GRANTS
Adopted by the Bond Reimbursement & Grant Review Committee

April 16, 2007

Deleted: 19

Deleted: 2006

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

AS 14.11.013(a)(1)-The department shall verify that each proposed project meets the criteria established under AS 14.11.014(b) and qualifies as a project required to accomplish one of the following. Projects can combine work in the different categories with the majority of work establishing the project's type. For the purpose of review and evaluation, projects which include significant work elements from categories other than the project's primary category will be evaluated as mixed scope projects. Projects will be considered for replacement-in-lieu-of-renewal when project costs exceed 75% of the current replacement cost of the existing facility, based on a twenty year life cycle cost analysis that includes disposition costs of the existing facility.

A. "Avert imminent danger or correct life threatening situations." This category is generally referred to as, "Health and Life Safety." A project classified under "A" must be documented as having unsafe conditions that threaten the physical welfare of the occupants. Examples might be that seismic design of structure is inadequate; that required fire alarm and/or suppressant systems are non-existent or inoperative; or that the structure and materials are deteriorated or damaged seriously to the extent that they pose a health/life-safety risk. The district must document what actions it has taken to temporarily mitigate a life-threatening situation.

B. "House students who would otherwise be unhoused." This category is referred to as "Unhoused Students." A project to be classified under "B" must have inadequate space to carry out the educational program required for the present and projected student population. Documentation should be based on the current Department of Education & Early Development Space Guidelines. (Refer to AAC 31.020) This category corresponds to category A under AS 14.11.100(j) used for review of debt reimbursement projects.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

C. "Protection of the structure of existing school facilities." This category is intended to include projects that will protect the structure, enclosure, foundations and systems of a facility from deterioration and ensure continued use as an educational facility. Work on individual facility systems may be combined into one project. However, the work on each system must be able to be independently justified and exceed \$25,000. The category is for major projects, which are not a result of inadequate preventive, routine and/or custodial maintenance. An example could be a twenty year old roof that has been routinely patched and flood coated, but is presently cracking and leaking in numerous locations. A seven year old roof that has numerous leaks would normally only require preventive maintenance and would not qualify. In addition, no new space for unhoused students is permitted in this category, limiting its ability to be combined with other project types.

D. "Correct building code deficiencies that require major repair or rehabilitation in order for the facility to continue to be used for the educational program." This category, Building Code Deficiencies, was previously referred to as "Code Upgrade." The key words are "major repair." A "D" project corrects major building, fire, mechanical, electrical, environmental, disability (ADA) and other conditions required by codes. Work on individual facility systems may be combined into one project. However, the work on each system must be able to be

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development
APPENDIX B: CATEGORIES OF GRANTS
Adopted by the Bond Reimbursement & Grant Review Committee

April 16, 2007

independently justified and exceed \$25,000. An example could be making all corridors one hour rated. Making one or two toilet stalls accessible would not fit this category. In addition, no new space for unhoused students is permitted in this category, limiting its ability to be combined with other project types. This category corresponds to category B under AS 14.11.100(j) used for review of debt reimbursement projects.

Deleted: 19

Deleted: 2006

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

E. "Achieve an operating cost saving." This category is intended to improve the efficiency of a facility and therefore, save money. Examples that might qualify are increasing insulation, improving doors and windows, modifying boilers and heat exchange units for more energy efficiency. The project application must include an economic analysis comparing the project cost to the operating cost savings generated by the project. This category corresponds to category C under AS 14.11.100(j) used for review of debt reimbursement projects.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

F. "Modify or rehabilitate facilities for purpose of improving the instructional unit." Category "F", Improve Instructional Program, was previously referred to as "Functional Upgrade." This category is limited to changes or improvements within an existing facility such as, modifications for science programs, computer installation, conversion of space for special education classes, or increase of resource areas. It also covers improvements to outdoor education and site improvements to support the educational program. This category corresponds to category D under AS 14.11.100(j) used for review of debt reimbursement projects.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Deleted: ¶

G. "Meet an educational need not specified in (A)-(F) of this paragraph, identified by the department." Any situation not covered by (A)-(F), and mandated by the Department of Education. (Currently, there are no such mandates.)

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Deleted: ¶

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development
APPENDIX C: PROJECT COST ESTIMATE
Adopted by the Bond Reimbursement & Grant Review Committee
April 16, 2007

Construction Management (CM) by a private contractor. Costs may include oversight of any phase of the project by a private contractor. Construction management includes management of the project's scope, schedule, quality, and budget during any phase of the planning, design and construction of the facility. The maximum for construction management by consultant is 4% of the total project cost as defined in statute [AS 14.11.020(c)].

Land is a variable unrelated to construction cost and should include actual purchase price plus title insurance, fees and closing costs. Land cost is limited to the lesser of the appraised value of the land or the actual purchase price of the land. Land costs are excluded from project percent calculations.

Site Investigation is also a variable unrelated to construction cost and should include land survey, preliminary soil testing, environmental and cultural survey costs, but not site preparation. Site investigation costs are excluded from project percent calculations.

Design Services should include full standard architectural and engineering services as described in AIA Document B141-1997. Architectural and engineering fees can be budgeted based upon a percentage of construction costs. Because construction costs vary by region and size, so may the percentage fee to accomplish the same effort. Additional design services such as educational specifications, condition surveys, and post occupancy evaluations may increase fees beyond the recommended percentages.

Recommended: 6-10% (Renovation might run 2% higher)

Construction includes all contract work as well as force account for facility construction, site preparation and utilities. This is the base cost upon which others are estimated and equals 100%.

Equipment/Technology includes all moveable furnishing, instructional devices or aids, electronic and mechanical equipment with associated software and peripherals (consultant services necessary to make equipment operational may also be included). It does not include installed equipment, nor consumable supplies, with the exception of the initial purchase of library books. Items purchased should meet the district definition of a fixed asset and be accounted for in an inventory control system. The Equipment/Technology budget has two benchmarks for standard funding: percentage of construction costs and per-student costs as discussed in EED's *Guideline for School Equipment Purchases*. If special technology plans call for higher levels of funding, itemized costs should be presented in the project budget separate from standard equipment.

Recommended: 0-10% of construction cost or between \$1700 - \$3050 per student depending on school size and type.

District Administrative Overhead includes an allocable share of district overhead costs, such as payroll, accounts payable, procurement services, and preparation of the six year capital improvement plan and specific project applications. In-house construction management should be included as part of this line item.

Deleted: 19

Deleted: 6

Formatted: Underline

Deleted: includes two categories: CM accomplished

Deleted: and CM accomplished by district/borough staff

Deleted: include construction management costs done by staff and all on site representation. For private contractors it should include costs as anticipated to include

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 pt, First line: 0 pt

Deleted: may be incurred for one or the other and in some cases both. Estimates for "in-house" construction management should include actual staff time allocated to the project, staff travel and per diem and direct costs of telephone, etc. It should

Deleted: + 'in-house' = 5% ¶
Statutory Limit by Private Contractor: 2-4% (Refer to AS 14.11.020 (c)) ¶
Recommended In-house: 2-5%

Deleted: s

Deleted: have

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 pt, First line: 0 pt

Deleted: 7

Deleted: Indirect/Administration

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development
APPENDIX C: PROJECT COST ESTIMATE
Adopted by the Bond Reimbursement & Grant Review Committee

April ~~16~~, 2007

Deleted: 19

Deleted: 6

Deleted: 4

Recommended: ~~2.9~~%

Percent for Art includes the statutory allowance for art in public places. This may fund selection, design/fabrication and installation of works of art. One percent of the construction budget is required except for rural projects which require only one-half of one percent. For this category projects are rural if they are in communities under 3000 or are not on a year-round, publicly-maintained road system and have a construction cost differential greater than 120% of Anchorage as determined in the Cost Model for Alaskan Schools. The department recommends budgeting for art.

Project Contingency is a safety factor to allow for unforeseen changes. Standard cost estimating by A/E or professional estimators use a built in contingency in the construction cost of $\pm 10\%$. Because that figure is included in the construction cost, this item is a project contingency for project changes and unanticipated costs in other budget areas

Recommended: 5% Fixed

Total Project Request is the total project cost, as a percent of the construction cost, except in extreme cases, should average out close to the same for all projects, and when the variables of land cost and site investigation are omitted. This item is the best overall gauge of the efficiency of the project.

Recommended: Not to exceed 130%

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development
APPENDIX D: DEFINITIONS OF MAINTENANCE
Adopted by the Bond Reimbursement & Grant Review Committee
April 18, 2001

Component

A part of a system in the school facility.

Component Repair or Replacement

The unscheduled repair or replacement of faulty components, materials, or products caused by factors beyond the control of maintenance personnel.

Custodial Care

The day to day and periodic cleaning, painting, and replacement of disposable supplies to maintain the facility in safe, clean and orderly condition.

Deferred Maintenance

Custodial care, routine maintenance, or preventive maintenance that is postponed for lack of funds, resources, or other reasons.

Major Maintenance

Facility renewal that requires major repair or rehabilitation to protect the structure and correct building code deficiencies, and shall exceed \$25,000 per project, per site. It must be demonstrated, using evidence acceptable to the department that (1) the district has adhered to its regular preventive, routine and/or custodial maintenance schedule for the identified project request, and (2) preventive maintenance is no longer cost effective.

Preventive Maintenance

The regularly scheduled activities that carry out the diagnostic and corrective actions necessary to prevent premature failure or maximize or extend the useful life of a facility and/or its components. It involves a planned and implemented program of inspection, servicing, testing and replacement of systems and components that is cost effective on a life-cycle basis. Programs shall contain the elements defined in AS 14.11.011(b)(4) and 4 AAC 31.013 to be eligible for funding.

Renewal or Replacement

A scheduled and anticipated systematic upgrading or replacement of a facility system or component to establish its ability to function for a new life cycle.

System(s)

An assembly of components created to perform specific functions in a school facility, such as a roof system, mechanical system or electrical system.

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development
APPENDIX E: WAIVER OF PARTICIPATING SHARE/IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS
Adopted by the Bond Reimbursement & Grant Review Committee
April 23, 1999

Current law - AS 14.11.008(d) - requires that a district provide a participating share for all school construction and major maintenance projects funded under AS 14.11. The department administers all funds for capital projects appropriated to it under the guidelines of AS 14.11 and 4 AAC 31. The following points should be considered by those districts requesting a waiver of the local participating share

1. A district has three years before and after the appropriation to fulfill the participating share requirement.

A review of the annual financial audits and school district budgets indicate that no district is in a financial condition which warrants a full waiver. Local dollars are available to fund all or a portion of the match during the six years. Districts continue to generate and budget for, local interest earnings, facility rental fees and other forms of discretionary revenue adequate to fund some or all of the required local match. If properly documented and not already funded by AS 14.11, prior expenditures for planning, design, and other eligible costs may be sufficient to meet the match requirement.

2. Both the administration and the Legislature have strong feelings that local communities should at least be partially engaged in the funding of projects.

In recognition of the inability of some communities to levy a tax or raise large amounts of cash from other sources, the legislation provides an opportunity for in-kind contributions, in-lieu of cash. All districts need to make a directed effort to provide the local match, utilize fund balances and other discretionary revenue, consider sources of in-kind contributions, document that effort and then request a full or partial waiver-as necessary.

3. All waiver requests require sufficient documentation.

Requests should be accompanied by strong, compelling evidence as to overall financial condition of the school district and in the case of a city/borough school district, the financial condition of the city/borough as well. The attachments should include, at a minimum, cash account reconciliations, balance sheets, cash investment maturity schedules, revenue projection, cash flow analysis and projected use of all fund balances and documentation in support of attempts to meet the local match. Historical expenditures do not provide sufficient evidence of future resource allocations. Consideration should be given to new and replacement equipment purchases, travel and other expenditures that support classroom activity, but may be delayed until the local match is funded. Each district has an opportunity to help itself and provide a safe, efficient school facility through shared responsibility.

4. Districts may request consideration of in-kind contributions of labor, materials or equipment.

Under regulation 4 AAC 31.023 (d) in-kind contributions are allowed. This also affords an opportunity for community participation through contributions to the art requirements for new buildings or other means. This option should be fully explored, as well as the documentation mentioned above, prior to requesting a waiver of all or part of the participating share.

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development
APPENDIX F: Type of Space Added or Improved
Adopted by the Bond Reimbursement & Grant Review Committee
April 18, 1997

Category A - Instructional or Resource

Kindergarten
Elementary
General Use Classrooms
Secondary
Library/Media Center
Special Education
Bi-Cultural/Bilingual
Art
Science
Music/Drama
Journalism
Computer Lab/Technology Resource
Business Education
Home Economics
Gifted/Talented
Wood Shop
General Shop
Small Machine Repair Shop
Darkroom
Gym

Category B - Support Teaching

Counseling/Testing
Teacher Workroom
Teacher Offices
Educational Resource Storage
Time-out Room
Parent Resource Room

Category C - General Support

Student Commons/Lunch Room
Auditorium
Pool
Weight Room
Multipurpose Room
Boys Locker Room
Girls Locker Room
Administration
Nurse
Conference Rooms
Community Schools/PTA Administration
Kitchen/Food Service
Student Store

Category D - Supplementary

Corridors/Vestibules/Entryways
Stairs/Elevators
Mechanical/Electrical
Passageways/Chaseways
Supply Storage & Receiving Areas
Restrooms/Toilets
Custodial
Other Special Remote Location Factors
Other Building Support

**Alaska Department of Education & Early Development
Capital Improvement Project Application
Project Eligibility Checklist
FY2009**

Date _____

District _____ Project _____

Is the project eligible? Yes No

The following items are requirements for projects to be eligible for grants or bond reimbursement as required by statute or regulations. Please check YES or NO if project application is in compliance or not.

Primary Application Question(s)		Yes	No
A	All	The application is complete and all questions are fully answered - AS 14.11.013 (c)(3)(A)	
B	#3	The district's CIP-6 year plan has been submitted - AS 14.11.011(b)(1)	
C	#4	The district has an auditable fixed asset inventory system - AS 14.11.011(b)(1)	
D	#5	Evidence of replacement cost property insurance - AS 14.11.011(b)(2)	
E	#11	If the district has requested a waiver of participating share, is the request attached? (If not applicable, leave blank) - AS 14.11.008(d)	
F	#6	Evidence that project should be a capital improvement project and not preventive maintenance or custodial care - AS 14.11.011 (b)(3)	
G	#17	Evidence that project meets the criteria of one of the A-F categories - AS 14.11.013 (a)(1)	
H	#17	A detailed scope of work, project budget and documentation of need - AS 14.11.011 (b)(1)	
I	#17 & 18	The scope of work should include all information requested in the application instructions and should include life cycle cost analysis, cost benefit analysis or any other quantifiable analysis which demonstrates that the project is in the best interest of the district AND the state - AS 14.11.013 (c)(3)(C)	
J	#19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24	For projects requesting additional space, evidence of space eligibility based on supported 2-year and 5-year-post-occupancy student population projection data - 4 AAC 31.021(c)(1)&(c)(3)	
K	#17, 26, 27, & 28	Evidence that the existing facility can not adequately serve or that alternative projects are in the best interest of the state – AS 14.11.013 (c)(3)(B)	
L	#27 & 28	Evidence that the situation can not be relieved by adjusting service area boundaries and transportation - 4 AAC 31.021(c)(2) & AS 14.11.013 (b)(6)	
M	#31 & 32	EED certification that the school district has a facility management program that complies with 4 AAC 31.013 and a description of the district's preventive maintenance program - AS 14.11.011 (b)(1)	



Guidelines for Raters of the FY2009 CIP Applications

Introduction

The Department of Education & Early Development is charged with the task of compiling a prioritized list of projects to be used in preparing a six-year capital plan for submittal to the governor and the legislature (AS 14.11.013 (a)(3)). The criteria for accomplishing the priorities are established in statute (AS 14.11.013 (B) for grant projects, and AS 14.11.100(j)(4) for debt retirement projects) and are awarded points based on a scoring system developed by the Bond Reimbursement and Grant Review Committee under their statutorily imposed mandate (AS 14.11.014 (b)(6)).

The guidelines provided here are to assure that raters are using a common set of terms and standards when awarding points for the subjective scoring criteria.

Base Philosophy

The following positions will define the base philosophy for rating applications.

Since districts are required to submit a request for a capital project no later than September 1 of the year preceding the fiscal year for which they are applying, no rater shall review, rank or give feedback regarding scoring a project prior to this deadline.

Applications will be ranked based on the information submitted with the application, or applicants may use information submitted to the department in support of a project, provided the submission occurs on or before September 1. Each rater shall arrive at the initial ranking of each project independently. Raters will be expected to go through each application question by question. They will also review all attachments for content, completeness and bearing on each scoring element. Consistency in scores from year-to-year shall be considered. It is expected that projects will demonstrate different levels of completeness in descriptions and detail depending on the stage of project development.

Projects are prioritized in two lists: the School Construction List and the Major Maintenance List and reflect the two statutory funds established for education capital projects. Under the definitions provided in statute and regulation, projects which add space to a facility are classed as School Construction projects and must fall in categories A, B, E, F, or G. Major maintenance projects (category C and D) may not include additional space for unhoused students.

Each rater should have an eligibility checklist available during rating. Items A, F, G, I, J and L will be evaluated by each rater. Other eligibility items will be the responsibility of support team members doing data input and capacity/allowable calculations. Discussion regarding project eligibility should be brought to the attention of the rating team as soon as it becomes an issue in one rater's mind.

Deleted: 19

Deleted: 6



Subjective Rating Guidelines

For each of the subjective rating categories, raters will consider the factors listed when evaluating and scoring applications. The list is not exclusive, nor exhaustive. As raters read and evaluate projects, review of the listed elements is to be done for referential purposes. Raters should also refer to the Application Instructions for each question.

Effectiveness of Maintenance & Facilities Management Program ([Application Question 31](#);
[Points possible: 25](#))

Maintenance Management Narrative
[\(Points possible: 5\)](#)

How well does the program work for each individual school?

Does the program address all building components? Mechanical, electrical, structural, architectural, exterior/civil?

Is there evidence supplied which demonstrates that the program is effective?

Who participates in the program and how does it function?

Energy Management Narrative
[\(Points possible: 5\)](#)

Is the district engaged in reducing energy consumption in its facilities?

Is a comprehensive set of methods being used?

Is the program districtwide in scope?

Is the program achieving results?

Custodial Narrative
[\(points possible: 5\)](#)

Is the district's custodial program complete—is it based on quantities from building inventories and frequency of care based on industry practice?

Has the district customized its program to be specific to each facility?

Is the program districtwide in scope?

Is the program achieving results?

Maintenance Training Narrative
[\(Points possible: 5\)](#)

Does the program address training and on-going education of the maintenance staff?

Are maintenance personnel being trained in specific building systems?



Capital Planning Narrative
(Points possible: 5)

- Does the district have a process for identifying capital renewal needs?
- Are component/subsystem replacement cycles identified and used?
- Does the system involve building occupants and users?
- Are renewal schedules comprehensive and vetted for credibility?
- Are systems up for renewal grouped into logical capital projects?

Emergency (Application question 14; Points possible: 50)

If the district doesn't declare the project an emergency: NO points!

Consider the 'level of threat' to both people and property in assessing the emergency.

Consider how well points noted in instructions are addressed.

Consider the 'immediacy' of the emergency (how time critical is it?).

Consider the "nature" of the emergency.

Consider information provided in all portions of the application in assessing the emergency.

Scoring should be weighted in the case of mixed-scope projects (i.e., does the project solve emergency and non-emergency conditions?)



Seriousness of Life Safety and Code Conditions (Application Questions 14 and 17; Points possible: 50)

Consider the documentation provided: how specific?, source/author?, reasonable categories?	Consider information provided on type and nature of code violations. How specific?
Mandatory or optional? Especially consider this in light of code condition comparisons between standards for new buildings and the requirements for older buildings.	Does the project provide relief from life safety & code conditions for facilities affected by the project?
Seriousness of emergency conditions?	Seriousness of code conditions?
Scoring should be weighted in the case of mixed scope projects.	Life safety should provide relationship to definitions provided in Appendix B.

Existing Space (Application Question 26; Points possible: 40)

This score should be adjusted for mixed scope projects (i.e., does the project only involve improvements to inadequate space or does it also incorporate work in adequate spaces?)	Rating should consider the adequacy of the space in terms of both form and function. There should be a balance between consideration of educational adequacy of physical arrangement versus functional factors.
Points are awarded based on the inability of existing space to adequately serve the educational program. No points for code violations!	Mandated programs can receive 40 points maximum, existing local programs can receive 20 points maximum, and new local programs can receive 15 points maximum (should be spelled out in the application).

Deleted: Adequacy of Documentation
 This score should be the last score awarded.

Cost or Cost Estimate (Application Questions 18; Points possible: 30)

Check to assure that the estimate matches the proposed project scope.	Check for double entries, especially for factored items.
Primary evaluation should test both the “reasonableness” and the “completeness” of the cost estimate (i.e., How well can this estimate be used to advocate for this project?)	Rating considers the full range of estimates: from conceptual to detail design to actual construction costs. It should be noted that because this scoring element covers the full range of estimate possibilities, it is anticipated that conceptual estimates score



less than more detailed construction estimates and actual construction cost documentation.

Review and evaluate backup for cost estimate or actual construction costs.

Check percentages and justification (with backup) when percentages exceed EED guidelines.

Check cost after adjustment for geographic factor.

Review cost benefit analysis and life cycle cost analysis. Note if these are not present. Note specific deficiencies.

Relationship of the Project Cost to the Annual Operating Cost (Application question 29; Points possible: 30)

This should be rated based on information provided which specifically address this issue.

Evaluation should be based on district provided data and analysis rather than rator opinion.

Evaluation may reward efforts to contain or reduce operating costs even if the project doesn't save money or have a payback.

Top scores should be reserved for those projects that can demonstrate a payback within a relatively brief period of time.

Should be consistent with life cycle cost analysis and cost benefit analysis (if provided).

This may have either a positive or a negative relationship to justification of a project.

Alternative Facilities (Application question 27; Points possible: 5)

Consider the effort/results in identifying alternative facilities.

Where reasonable alternative facilities have been identified, is there documentation with the facility owner regarding availability?

Is a community "inventory" provided?

Were judgements about the viability of alternate facilities made with "institutional knowledge", professional assessment, third party objectivity and/or economic analysis.

Are facilities listed in a narrative discussion or are they documented with supplemental data such as photos, maps, facility profile, etc.?

Deleted: ¶
-----Page Break-----



Options (Application Question 28; Points possible: 25)

Consider how completely this topic is addressed.

Was the option to phase the project considered?

Should consider boundary changes where applicable.

For equipment: was a re-conditioned or re-built option considered in lieu of new.

For over-crowding, was double shifting considered?

Were the options considered viable alternatives?

The rating of this scoring element should consider the range of options considered, the rigor of the comparison to each other and

Scoring should increase in accordance with the amount of detailed information; graduated into three levels of: 1. unsupported narrative 2. well supported narrative and 3. detailed cost analysis.

Adequacy of Documentation (Points possible: 30)

This score should be the last score awarded.

Consider all attachments in evaluating this element.

Points awarded for this element should reflect how well information needed to assess each of the other scoring elements was provided.

Consideration should be given to congruency between documents supporting an application.

Consideration should be given to how well documents and submittals responded to both the letter and the intent of questions.

Adequacy of Documentation

This score should be the last score awarded.

Points awarded for this element should reflect how well information needed to assess each of the other scoring elements was provided.

Consideration should be given to how well documents and submittals responded to both the letter and the intent of questions.

Consider all attachments in evaluating this element.

Consideration should be given to congruency between documents supporting an application.

**Alaska Department of Education & Early Development
Capital Improvement Project Application
Objective Rating Form**

FY2009

Adopted by the Bond Reimbursement and Grant Review Committee

April 16, 2007

School District _____ Date _____
 School Name _____
 Project Title _____
 Fund _____ Category _____
 Phase _____ Maximum Points _____

Max Points		School Construction A, B, E, F	Major Maintenance C, D
10	1. Condition Survey and Facility Appraisal (16) Condition survey = 5 points Facility appraisal = 5 points		
30	2. District ranking (12) Project #1 request = 30 points, #2 = 27 points, #3 = 24 points Each additional project 3 points less		
30	3. Weighted average age of facility (9) A. 0-10 years = 0 points B. > 10 < 21 years = 5 / year in excess of 10 years C. > 21 < 30 years = 5 + .75 per year in excess of 20 years D >31<40 years = 12.5 + 1.75 per year in excess of 30 years E. ≥40 years = 30 points		
30	4. Previous AS 14.11 funding for this project (10,18) Previous funding = 30 points No previous funding = 0 points		
30	5. Planning & design phase has been completed (10, Appendix A) A. All required elements of planning = 10 points B. All elements planning + required elements of schematic design = 20 points C. All elements of planning and schematics + required elements of design development = 30 points		
50	6. Unhoused students today (21,23) A. 100 % of capacity = 0 points B. > 100% of capacity = One point for each 3% of excess capacity C. 250 % of capacity = 50 points		N/A
30	7. Unhoused students in seven years (5 year Post-occupancy) (20,21,22,23,24) A. 100 % of capacity = 0 points B. > 100% of capacity = One point for each 5% of excess capacity C. 250 % of capacity = 30 points		N/A
30	8. Type of space added or improved (25) A. Instructional or resource 30 points B. Support teaching 25 points C. Food service, recreational and general support 15 points D. Supplemental 10 points		N/A

- Deleted: Adequacy of fixed asset inventory system
- Deleted: 4
- Deleted: No findings
- Deleted: 10
- Deleted: One or more findings
- Deleted: 0
- Deleted: 20
- Deleted: 7
- Deleted: 7.5
- Deleted: 1.2
- Deleted: D
- Deleted: 30
- Deleted: 20
- Deleted:
- Deleted: times 50
- Deleted: 200
- Deleted: times 30
- Deleted: 200

Objective Rating Form (continued)

Max Points		School Construction A, B, E, F	Major Maintenance C, D
30	<p>9. Preventive Maintenance (31)</p> <p>A. Maintenance Management Program</p> <p>1. Detailed summary reports of maintenance labor parameters 15 points</p> <p>2. Detailed summary reports of PM/corrective maintenance parameters 10 points</p> <p>3. The 5-year average expenditure for maintenance divided by the 5-year average insured replacement value, districtwide. 5 points</p> <p>If % ≤ 4, then (% x 1.25)</p> <p>If % > 4, then 5</p>		
270	Total Points		

Deleted: 260

**Alaska Department of Education & Early Development
Capital Improvement Project Application
Subjective Rating Form
FY2009**

Adopted by the Bond Reimbursement and Grant Review Committee
April 16, 2007

School District _____
 School Name _____
 Project Title _____
 Fund _____ Category _____
 Phase _____ Maximum Points _____
 Rater _____ Date _____

Note: The number in parenthesis refers to the question with primary applicability on the CIP application form. Also, points for elements two through eight will be weighted to apply to each specific category of a mixed-scope project.

Max Points		School Construction A, B, E, F	Major Maintenance C, D
25	1. Effectiveness of preventive maintenance program (31) A. Maintenance Management Narrative = 5 points maximum B. Energy Management Narrative = 5 points maximum C. Custodial Narrative = 5 points maximum D. Maintenance Training Narrative = 5 points maximum E. Capital Planning Narrative = 5 points maximum		
50	2. Emergency (14)		
50	3. Seriousness of life/safety and code conditions (14, 17)		
40	4. Existing space fails to meet or inadequately serves existing or proposed elementary or secondary programs (26) A. Mandated Program = 40 points maximum B. Local existing program = 20 points maximum C. New approved local program = 15 points maximum		
30	5. Reasonableness & completeness of cost or cost estimate (18)		
30	6. Relationship of the project cost to the annual operational cost savings (29)		
5	7. Thoroughness in considering use of alternative facilities to meet the needs of the project (27)		
25	8. Thoroughness in considering a full range of options for the project (28)		
30	9. Adequacy of documentation (All)		
285	Total Points		

2007 Work Topics for the BR & GR Committee
Reviewed 4/16/07

2007 Work Items	Responsibility	Due Date
1. Outdoor Facilities Guidelines	Staff/Cmte	April 2008
2. Publications Review	Staff	Ongoing
2.1. A/E Selection Guide		
2.2. PM/Facilities Management Handbook		
2.3. Swimming Pool Guidelines		
2.4. Site Selection Criteria Handbook		
2.5. Capital Project Coordinators Handbook		
2.6. School Equipment Guide		
2.7. Guide to Educational Specifications		
3. Database Review		
3.1. Coordination with the Unity project	Staff	December 2007
3.2. Incorporate renewal and replacement information	Staff	April 2008
4. Online CIP Application	Staff	April 2008
5. Statute and Regulation review	Staff	December 2007
6. FY2009 CIP List Review	Committee	December 2007

Projected Meeting Dates

December 6-7 (Anchorage)

April 17-18 (Juneau)

Other times as necessary teleconference



PM State-of-the-State

Report of EED Assessments & Related Data

AS Of 03/15/2007

District	Date of Last Visit	Maintenance Management	Energy	Custodial	Training	R & R Schedule	Maint. Program	Status	Program Name
Alaska Gateway	5/22/2001	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Aleutian Region	5/10/2001	N	N	Y	N	Y	S	2 of 5	D
Aleutians East	5/25/2005	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Anchorage	6/3/2002	Y	Y++	Y+	Y+	Y++	C	5 of 5	Maximo
Annette Island	2/27/2006	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	I	5 of 5	School Dude
Bering Strait	6/19/2001	Y	Y+	Y+	Y++	Y	C	5 of 5	TMA
Bristol Bay Borough	5/1/2002	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	C	5 of 5	QQuest
Chatham	8/30/2001	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Chugach	2/4/2002	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Copper River	5/20/2001	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Cordova	4/20/2005	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Craig City	8/2/2001	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Delta/Greely	5/23/2001	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Denali Borough	3/21/2005	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	C	5 of 5	Quick Time
Dillingham City	4/10/2006	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Fairbanks	5/22/2002	Y++	Y+	Y+	Y+	Y+	C	5 of 5	JW Edward
Galena	7/10/2001	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Haines	4/3/2006	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Hoonah City	8/22/2001	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Hydaburg City	3/3/2002	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Iditarod Area	7/26/2001	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	I	5 of 5	School Dude
Juneau	1/10/2006	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	C	5 of 5	Maximo
Kake City	11/9/2005	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Kashunamiut	5/16/2002	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Kenai Peninsula	7/9/2001	Y	Y-	Y	Y	Y	C	5 of 5	ACT 1000
Ketchikan	1/25/2006	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	I	5 of 5	School Dude
Klawock City	8/20/2001	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Kodiak Island	1/10/2005	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	C	5 of 5	Quest
Kuspuk	4/7/2005	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	D	5 of 5	D
Lake & Peninsula	4/30/2002	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	C	5 of 5	Quest
Lower Kuskokwim	4/11/2002	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	C	5 of 5	
Lower Yukon	5/14/2002	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Mat-Su Borough	12/10/2006	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	D	5 of 5	
Nenana City	3/23/2005	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Nome City	5/22/2002	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*



PM State-of-the-State

Report of EED Assessments & Related Data

As Of 03/15/2007

District	Date of Last Visit	Maintenance Management	Energy	Custodial	Training	R & R Schedule	Maint. Program	Status	Program Name
North Slope Borough	7/12/2001	Y++	Y++	Y+	Y++	Y+	C	5 of 5	Maximo
Northwest Arctic	3/26/2006	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	C	5 of 5	Maximo
Pelican City	1/14/2002	N	N	N	N	N	I	0 of 5	School Dude
Petersburg City	1/23/2006	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	I	5 of 5	School Dude
Pribilof Island	8/27/2005	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Sitka City Borough	2/26/2007	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	I	5 of 5	School Dude
Skagway City	6/17/02	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	I	5 of 5	School Dude
Southeast Island	4/5/2002	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Southwest Region	4/11/2006	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	I	5 of 5	Maximo*
St Marys	7/9/2001	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Tanana City	3/9/2005	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Unalaska City	5/23/2005	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	D	5 of 5	D
Valdez City	3/21/2002	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	C	5 of 5	Micro-Main
Wrangell City	1/24/2006	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Yakutat City	4/18/2005	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Yukon Flats	3/11/2005	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
Yukon-Koyukuk	3/0/01	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	C	5 of 5	mpulse
Yupiit	5/9/2002	Y+	Y	Y	Y	Y	S	5 of 5	Maximo*
		51	51	52	51	52		51	
In Compliance		51	51	52	51	52		51	

Legend

- N = Nothing in place
- Y = In full compliance and committed to the process
- NP = Not participating
- U = Undecided
- S = Plan to use SERRC to comply
- I = Commercial IMMS
- C = Commercial CMMS
- D = In-house District Program

Have had reassessment

State of Alaska
Department of Education and Early Development
Capital Improvement Projects (FY2008)
School Construction Grant Fund
Final List

Feb 1	Dec 15	Nov 6	School District	Project Name	Amount Requested	Eligible Amount	Prior Funding	EED Recommended Amount	Participating Share	State Share	Aggregate Amount
1	1	1	Lower Kuskokwim	D.R. Kiunya Memorial School Improvement, Kongiganak	\$5,760,894	\$25,539,317	\$19,778,423	\$5,760,894	\$115,218	\$5,645,676	\$5,645,676
2	2	2	Lower Yukon	Marshall K-12 School Replacement	\$32,982,282	\$32,982,282	\$0	\$32,982,282	\$659,646	\$32,322,636	\$37,968,312
3	3	3	Northwest Arctic	Noatak K-12 School Replacement	\$9,473,045	\$39,600,733	\$30,127,688	\$9,473,045	\$947,304	\$8,525,741	\$46,494,053
4	4	4	Lower Kuskokwim	Kwigillingok School Improvement	\$26,599,075	\$22,365,140	\$0	\$22,365,140	\$447,303	\$21,917,837	\$68,411,890
5	5	5	Yukon Flats	Arctic Village K-12 School Replacement Completion	\$3,342,861	\$16,079,575	\$12,736,714	\$3,342,861	\$66,857	\$3,276,004	\$71,687,894
6	6	6	Southeast Island	Howard Valentine K-12 School Replacement Completion, Coffman Cove	\$1,962,456	\$7,751,256	\$5,788,800	\$1,962,456	\$39,249	\$1,923,207	\$73,611,101
7	7	7	Lower Kuskokwim	Chaptngvak K-12 Improvement, Chefornak	\$28,379,470	\$28,379,470	\$0	\$28,379,470	\$567,589	\$27,811,881	\$101,422,982
8	8	8	Northwest Arctic	Kobuk K-10 Renovation/Addition	\$10,991,053	\$10,991,053	\$0	\$10,991,053	\$1,099,105	\$9,891,948	\$111,314,930
9	9	9	Lower Kuskokwim	Chief Paul K-12 Improvement, Kipnuk	\$29,686,725	\$29,686,725	\$0	\$29,686,725	\$593,734	\$29,092,991	\$140,407,921
10	10	10	Lower Yukon	Russian Mission K-12 School Replacement	\$21,937,072	\$24,049,653	\$18,863,162	\$5,186,491	\$103,730	\$5,082,761	\$145,490,682
11	11	11	Lower Kuskokwim	Nelson Island Schools Phase 2 Renovation/Addition, Toksook Bay	\$26,038,007	\$26,038,007	\$0	\$26,038,007	\$520,760	\$25,517,247	\$171,007,929
12	12	12	Lower Kuskokwim	Joann A. Alexie K-12 Phase 2 Renovation/Addition, Atmautluak	\$24,278,556	\$24,278,556	\$0	\$24,278,556	\$485,571	\$23,792,985	\$194,800,914
13	13	13	Lower Yukon	Alakanuk School Improvement	\$46,879,290	\$32,906,378	\$0	\$32,906,378	\$658,128	\$32,248,250	\$227,049,164
14	14	14	Southeast Island	Hyder New K-12 School Construction	\$1,050,145	\$1,050,145	\$0	\$1,050,145	\$21,003	\$1,029,142	\$228,078,306
15	15	15	Lower Yukon	Emmonak K-12 Renovation/Addition	\$21,698,324	\$19,587,992	\$0	\$19,587,992	\$391,760	\$19,196,232	\$247,274,538
16	16	16	Lower Kuskokwim	Nightmute K-12 Renovation/Addition	\$16,322,628	\$16,322,628	\$0	\$16,322,628	\$326,453	\$15,996,175	\$263,270,713
17	17	17	Lower Kuskokwim	Kuinerrarmiut Elitnaurviat K-12 Renovation/Addition, Quinhagak	\$27,579,892	\$27,579,892	\$0	\$27,579,892	\$551,598	\$27,028,294	\$290,299,007
18	18	18	Lower Kuskokwim	ZJ Williams Memorial K-12 Renovation/Addition, Napaskiak	\$24,230,090	\$24,230,090	\$0	\$24,230,090	\$484,602	\$23,745,488	\$314,044,495
19	19	19	Lower Kuskokwim	Kwethluk K-12 Renovation/Addition	\$28,139,971	\$28,139,971	\$0	\$28,139,971	\$562,799	\$27,577,172	\$341,621,667
20	20	20	Southwest Region	Koliganek School Replacement	\$17,222,238	\$15,594,900	\$0	\$15,594,900	\$311,898	\$15,283,002	\$356,904,669
21	21	21	Lower Kuskokwim	Kilbuck Elementary Replacement School, Bethel	\$6,278,011	\$31,024,506	\$24,746,495	\$6,278,011	\$125,560	\$6,152,451	\$363,057,120
22	22	22	Delta/Greely	Delta Junction Middle/High School Improvement	\$50,398,006	\$50,398,006	\$0	\$50,398,006	\$1,007,960	\$49,390,046	\$412,447,166
23	23	23	Lower Kuskokwim	Newtok K-12 School Improvement	\$24,418,131	\$22,875,713	\$0	\$22,875,713	\$457,514	\$22,418,199	\$434,865,365

State of Alaska
 Department of Education and Early Development
 Capital Improvement Projects (FY2008)
 School Construction Grant Fund
 Final List

Feb 1	Dec 15	Nov 6	School District	Project Name	Amount Requested	Eligible Amount	Prior Funding	EED Recommended Amount	Participating Share	State Share	Aggregate Amount
24	24	24	Anchorage	Bartlett High School West Wing Classrooms Renovation	\$13,928,295	\$14,928,295	\$1,000,000	\$13,928,295	\$4,178,488	\$9,749,807	\$444,615,172
25	25	26	Anchorage	East High School Southwest House Renovation	\$12,800,000	\$13,775,300	\$975,300	\$12,800,000	\$3,840,000	\$8,960,000	\$453,575,172
26	26	27	Anchorage	Chugiak High School House 4 Renovation	\$11,600,000	\$12,500,000	\$900,000	\$11,600,000	\$3,480,000	\$8,120,000	\$461,695,172
27	27	28	Copper River	Copper Center Elementary School Addition	\$1,120,536	\$1,120,536	\$0	\$1,120,536	\$22,411	\$1,098,125	\$462,793,297
28	28	29	Anchorage	Service High School Main Gym & Auxiliary Gym Renovation & Boiler Room Addition	\$12,910,000	\$12,034,972	\$1,800,000	\$10,234,972	\$3,070,492	\$7,164,480	\$469,957,777
29	29	30	Anchorage	Service High School Commons, Auditorium, & Cafeteria Design	\$3,050,000	\$2,679,965	\$0	\$2,679,965	\$803,989	\$1,875,976	\$471,833,753
30	30	31	Kuspuk	Johnnie John Sr. School, Renovation/Addition, Crooked Creek	\$7,550,982	\$7,550,982	\$0	\$7,550,982	\$151,020	\$7,399,962	\$479,233,715
31	31	32	Anchorage	East High School Southeast House Design	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000	\$150,000	\$350,000	\$479,583,715
32	32	33	Southeast Island	Outdoor Covered Physical Education Areas, 5 Schools	\$2,395,562	\$2,395,562	\$0	\$2,395,562	\$47,911	\$2,347,651	\$481,931,366
33	33	34	Anchorage	Bartlett High School East Wing Classrooms Design	\$900,000	\$900,000	\$0	\$900,000	\$270,000	\$630,000	\$482,561,366
34	34	35	Mat-Su Borough	Iditarod Elementary School Interior Renovation	\$2,340,633	\$2,340,633	\$0	\$2,340,633	\$702,190	\$1,638,443	\$484,199,809
35	35	36	Yukon-Koyukuk	Central Office Renovation	\$2,737,781	\$6,586,937	\$0	\$6,586,937	\$131,739	\$6,455,198	\$490,655,007
36	36	37	Craig City	Alternative Wood Heat Project	\$398,730	\$398,730	\$0	\$398,730	\$39,873	\$358,857	\$491,013,864
37	37	38	Mat-Su Borough	Districtwide Telephone Upgrade	\$128,250	\$128,250	\$0	\$128,250	\$38,475	\$89,775	\$491,103,639
38	38	39	Anchorage	Romig Middle School Renovation Design	\$1,300,000	\$1,598,026	\$0	\$1,598,026	\$479,408	\$1,118,618	\$492,222,257
39	39	40	Anchorage	West High School Renovation Design	\$1,550,000	\$1,401,235	\$0	\$1,401,235	\$420,370	\$980,865	\$493,203,122
40	40	41	Iditarod Area	Lime Village Voc-Ed Shop & Demolition	\$188,000	\$188,000	\$0	\$188,000	\$3,760	\$184,240	\$493,387,362
41	41	42	Anchorage	Whaley Center Renovation Design	\$825,000	\$825,000	\$0	\$825,000	\$247,500	\$577,500	\$493,964,862
42	42	43	Iditarod Area	Blackwell School Addition, Anvik	\$127,600	\$127,600	\$0	\$127,600	\$2,552	\$125,048	\$494,089,910
TOTALS:					\$561,999,591	\$639,432,011	\$116,716,582	\$522,715,429	\$28,625,519	\$494,089,910	

**Alaska Department of Education and Early Development
Capital Improvement Projects (FY2008)
School Construction Grant Fund
Total Points - Objective and Subjective
Final List**

Pri. #	School District	Project Name	School Dist Rank	Weight Avg Age	Prev. 14.11 Fund	Plan and Design	Avg Expend Main	Un-Housed Today	Un-housed 7 Years	Type of Space	Adeq Fixed Asset	Maint Labor	Maint Type	Maint Mgt	Energy Mgt	Cusd Pgm	Maint Train	Capit Plan	Emerg-ency	Adeq Doc	Life/Safety and Code Conditions	Exist-ing Space	Cost Esti-mate	Proj vs Oper Cost	Alter-natives	Op-tions	Total Points
1	Lower Kuskokwim	D.R. Kiunya Memorial School Improvement, Kongiganak	30.00	19.69	0.00	20.00	3.71	40.51	30.00	23.65	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	3.67	23.33	14.00	27.00	20.67	4.33	4.33	20.67	345.23
2	Lower Yukon	Marshall K-12 School Replacement	30.00	14.37	0.00	20.00	3.21	45.68	30.00	23.49	10.00	15.00	10.00	3.67	3.67	3.33	3.67	3.00	0.00	25.33	19.00	21.00	20.67	5.33	2.33	16.33	329.09
3	Northwest Arctic	Noatak K-12 School Replacement	30.00	15.43	0.00	20.00	4.17	50.00	30.00	24.33	10.00	0.00	5.00	3.67	3.67	4.00	3.67	4.00	0.00	20.00	12.33	23.33	19.33	6.33	5.00	19.67	313.94
4	Lower Kuskokwim	Kwigillingok School Improvement	27.00	11.16	0.00	10.00	3.71	50.00	30.00	23.47	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	1.67	18.33	11.67	22.33	16.00	3.33	3.67	18.33	310.34
5	Yukon Flats	Arctic Village K-12 School Replacement Completion	30.00	20.00	0.00	30.00	2.59	17.37	19.45	21.48	10.00	15.00	10.00	2.00	1.33	1.67	1.33	2.67	14.00	22.00	23.00	18.67	24.67	0.33	2.00	18.00	307.56
6	Southeast Island	Howard Valentine K-12 School Replacement Completion, Coffman Cove	30.00	15.75	0.00	20.00	2.46	39.47	30.00	24.15	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.33	4.67	3.67	3.00	4.00	5.33	17.33	16.67	20.33	12.33	0.00	4.00	14.33	306.84
7	Lower Kuskokwim	Chaptnguak K-12 Improvement, Chefornak	24.00	5.80	0.00	10.00	3.71	50.00	30.00	23.29	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	1.33	17.67	9.00	24.67	14.33	3.33	3.67	18.00	298.47
8	Northwest Arctic	Kobuk K-10 Renovation/Addition	24.00	10.66	0.00	20.00	4.17	47.07	30.00	20.69	10.00	0.00	10.00	3.67	4.67	3.33	3.00	4.67	3.33	19.67	16.00	23.33	17.33	7.67	3.67	9.67	296.60
9	Lower Kuskokwim	Chief Paul K-12 Improvement, Kipnuk	21.00	4.50	0.00	10.00	3.71	50.00	30.00	22.72	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	1.33	17.33	10.67	26.33	14.00	3.33	3.67	16.67	294.94
10	Lower Yukon	Russian Mission K-12 School Replacement	15.00	20.00	0.00	10.00	3.21	40.87	30.00	22.96	10.00	15.00	10.00	3.67	3.67	3.33	3.67	3.00	0.33	19.33	14.33	20.33	17.33	4.00	1.33	16.33	287.71
11	Lower Kuskokwim	Nelson Island Schools Phase 2 Renovation/Addition, Toksook Bay	0.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	3.71	34.76	30.00	23.28	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	0.67	21.67	13.00	17.00	19.33	1.67	4.00	18.00	286.75
12	Lower Kuskokwim	Joann A. Alexie K-12 Phase 2 Renovation/Addition, Atmautluak	0.00	9.65	0.00	20.00	3.71	39.12	30.00	23.27	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	0.67	22.67	10.33	18.67	19.33	2.33	4.00	17.33	280.75
13	Lower Yukon	Alakanuk School Improvement	24.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.21	50.00	30.00	22.65	10.00	15.00	10.00	3.67	3.67	3.33	3.67	3.00	0.67	15.33	10.00	21.67	14.67	0.67	2.33	11.33	278.86
14	Southeast Island	Hyder New K-12 School Construction	27.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.53	50.00	30.00	30.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	3.67	4.67	3.33	3.00	4.00	4.00	17.33	6.00	29.33	15.00	0.00	3.33	12.00	275.20
15	Lower Yukon	Emmonak K-12 Renovation/Addition	27.00	15.00	0.00	10.00	3.21	22.71	15.86	22.96	10.00	15.00	10.00	3.67	3.67	3.33	3.67	3.00	4.67	21.00	18.33	18.33	19.00	2.33	2.33	16.33	271.40
16	Lower Kuskokwim	Nightmute K-12 Renovation/Addition	0.00	13.28	0.00	0.00	3.71	50.00	30.00	23.78	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	1.00	15.67	7.67	21.67	13.33	0.00	4.33	14.33	258.44
17	Lower Kuskokwim	Kuinerrarmut Elitnaurviat K-12 Renovation/Addition, Quinhagak	0.00	10.58	0.00	0.00	3.71	47.01	30.00	23.32	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	0.67	16.67	7.33	20.00	14.67	0.00	4.33	14.00	251.96
18	Lower Kuskokwim	ZJ Williams Memorial K-12 Renovation/Addition, Napaskiak	0.00	10.95	0.00	0.00	3.71	39.62	30.00	23.12	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	1.00	17.00	11.00	18.33	14.33	0.00	4.00	13.33	246.07
19	Lower Kuskokwim	Kwethluk K-12 Renovation/Addition	0.00	10.15	0.00	0.00	3.71	39.87	30.00	22.89	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	1.00	16.33	9.67	19.33	14.33	0.00	4.00	13.67	244.61
20	Southwest Region	Koliganek School Replacement	30.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	2.14	0.00	0.00	24.30	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	0.00	21.33	15.67	4.67	16.67	3.00	3.33	18.67	237.11

**Alaska Department of Education and Early Development
Capital Improvement Projects (FY2008)
School Construction Grant Fund
Total Points - Objective and Subjective
Final List**

Pri. #	School District	Project Name	School Dist Rank	Weight Avg Age	Prev. 14.11 Fund	Plan and Design	Avg Expend Main	Un-Housed Today	Un-housed 7 Years	Type of Space	Adeq Fixed Asset	Maint Labor	Maint Type	Maint Mgt	Energy Mgt	Cusd Pgm	Maint Train	Capit Plan	Emerg-ency	Adeq Doc	Life/Safety and Code Conditions	Exist-ing Space	Cost Esti-mate	Proj vs Oper Cost	Alter-natives	Options	Total Points
21	Lower Kuskokwim	Kilbuck Elementary Replacement School, Bethel	12.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	3.71	11.90	9.52	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	0.00	17.33	19.00	8.33	18.67	3.67	4.00	17.67	225.47
22	Delta/Greely	Delta Junction Middle/High School Improvement	30.00	20.00	0.00	10.00	2.26	0.00	6.90	23.23	10.00	10.00	10.00	2.67	2.67	2.33	2.00	2.33	4.67	18.00	13.00	9.67	13.00	1.67	4.67	14.33	213.39
23	Lower Kuskokwim	Newtok K-12 School Improvement	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.71	39.47	30.00	23.16	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	0.00	11.67	4.00	16.33	12.00	0.00	1.67	6.67	208.35
24	Anchorage	Bartlett High School West Wing Classrooms Renovation	21.00	20.00	0.00	30.00	3.35	2.73	0.00	23.36	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	20.00	5.00	4.00	18.00	0.33	1.00	8.00	206.45
25	Anchorage	East High School Southwest House Renovation	12.00	20.00	0.00	30.00	3.35	2.73	0.00	21.14	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	18.33	12.67	4.67	17.00	1.33	2.33	8.33	203.56
26	Anchorage	Chugiak High School House 4 Renovation	18.00	20.00	0.00	30.00	3.35	2.73	0.00	21.79	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	20.00	1.67	4.67	18.33	1.33	1.33	7.67	200.54
27	Copper River	Copper Center Elementary School Addition	30.00	13.44	0.00	10.00	2.35	0.00	0.00	24.76	10.00	10.00	10.00	3.33	3.67	3.67	2.67	2.67	0.00	18.67	4.00	6.67	17.33	3.67	4.33	8.67	189.88
28	Anchorage	Service High School Main Gym & Auxiliary Gym Renovation & Boiler Room Addition	9.00	20.00	0.00	30.00	3.35	2.73	0.00	19.90	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	17.67	3.67	3.33	17.67	1.33	1.33	7.33	186.98
29	Anchorage	Service High School Commons, Auditorium, & Cafeteria Design	0.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	3.35	2.73	0.00	16.82	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	17.00	3.33	3.00	17.00	1.00	1.00	7.00	161.90
30	Kuspuk	Johnnie John Sr. School, Renovation/Addition, Crooked Creek	21.00	13.75	0.00	0.00	2.91	12.48	10.07	22.39	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.33	0.00	12.33	12.67	11.00	15.33	0.00	3.67	9.00	157.93
31	Anchorage	East High School Southeast House Design	0.00	20.00	0.00	10.00	3.35	2.73	0.00	24.20	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	13.33	9.00	3.33	9.67	1.00	2.00	8.00	156.28
32	Southeast Island	Outdoor Covered Physical Education Areas, 5 Schools	24.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.53	0.00	0.00	25.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	3.67	4.67	3.33	3.00	4.00	0.00	14.33	0.00	12.00	13.67	0.00	1.33	10.67	152.20
33	Anchorage	Bartlett High School East Wing Classrooms Design	3.00	20.00	0.00	10.00	3.35	2.73	0.00	24.43	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	12.67	3.33	3.00	9.67	1.00	1.33	8.00	152.18
34	Mat-Su Borough	Iditarod Elementary School Interior Renovation	24.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.72	0.00	11.08	24.14	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.00	5.00	1.33	1.67	5.00	0.00	12.00	0.67	5.33	12.00	1.33	2.00	2.67	149.95
35	Yukon-Koyukuk	Central Office Renovation	18.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	2.24	0.00	0.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	3.33	2.67	3.00	2.67	3.67	1.33	11.00	12.67	1.33	8.00	4.33	0.00	6.33	140.57
36	Craig City	Alternative Wood Heat Project	24.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	2.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	5.00	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.33	0.33	0.00	18.67	0.00	0.00	11.00	15.33	0.33	19.67	136.86
37	Mat-Su Borough	Districtwide Telephone Upgrade	21.00	12.58	0.00	0.00	2.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.00	5.00	1.33	1.67	5.00	0.00	12.67	0.33	0.00	11.33	19.33	0.67	1.00	133.64
38	Anchorage	Romig Middle School Renovation Design	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.35	2.73	0.00	22.81	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	8.00	0.00	2.67	7.33	1.00	1.00	7.67	126.23
39	Anchorage	West High School Renovation Design	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.35	2.73	0.00	22.07	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	8.00	0.00	2.33	8.33	1.00	1.00	7.00	125.49

Alaska Department of Education and Early Development
 Capital Improvement Projects (FY2008)
 School Construction Grant Fund
 Total Points - Objective and Subjective
 Final List

Pri. #	School District	Project Name	School Dist Rank	Weight Avg Age	Prev. 14.11 Fund	Plan and Design	Avg Expend Main	Un-Housed Today	Un-housed 7 Years	Type of Space	Adeq Fixed Asset	Maint Labor	Maint Type	Maint Mgt	Energy Mgt	Cusd Pgm	Maint Train	Capit Plan	Emergency	Adeq Doc	Life/Safety and Code Conditions	Exist-ing Space	Cost Esti-mate	Proj vs Oper Cost	Alter-natives	Options	Total Points
40	Iditarod Area	Lime Village Voc-Ed Shop & Demolition	9.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.01	23.72	14.23	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	1.33	1.33	1.67	2.67	0.00	9.33	5.67	0.00	9.00	0.00	0.67	0.67	114.29
41	Anchorage	Whaley Center Renovation Design	0.00	17.85	0.00	0.00	3.35	2.73	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	8.00	0.00	3.67	7.33	0.00	1.00	7.33	100.94
42	Iditarod Area	Blackwell School Addition, Anvik	0.00	16.25	0.00	0.00	3.01	1.83	6.77	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	1.33	1.33	1.67	2.67	0.00	9.00	2.00	4.33	9.00	6.33	0.00	0.67	78.20

**State of Alaska
Department of Education and Early Development
Capital Improvement Projects (FY2008)
Major Maintenance Grant Fund**

Final List

Feb 1	Dec 15	Nov 6	School District	Project Name	Amount Requested	Eligible Amount	Prior Funding	EED Recommended Amount	Participating Share	State Share	Aggregate Amount
1	1	1	Chugach	Cheneg Bay School Roof Renovation	\$755,379	\$755,379	\$0	\$755,379	\$15,108	\$740,271	\$740,271
2	2	2	Yakutat City	Yakutat Elementary Emergency Repairs Completion	\$724,361	\$1,322,397	\$598,036	\$724,361	\$217,308	\$507,053	\$1,247,324
3	3	3	Saint Marys	St. Mary's School Complex Renovation Project	\$13,651,870	\$13,651,870	\$0	\$13,651,870	\$682,593	\$12,969,277	\$14,216,601
4	4	4	Northwest Arctic	Shungnak K-12 Renovation, Phase 5	\$4,674,107	\$4,674,107	\$0	\$4,674,107	\$467,411	\$4,206,696	\$18,423,297
5	5	5	Lower Kuskokwim	Bethel Regional High School Renovation, Phase 2	\$4,549,820	\$16,877,663	\$12,327,843	\$4,549,820	\$90,996	\$4,458,824	\$22,882,121
6	6	6	Ketchikan	Houghtaling & Valley Park Elementary Schools Playground Upgrades	\$473,633	\$473,633	\$0	\$473,633	\$142,090	\$331,543	\$23,213,664
7	7	7	Yukon Flats	Fort Yukon Gym Renovation Completion	\$1,471,994	\$5,750,367	\$4,278,373	\$1,471,994	\$29,440	\$1,442,554	\$24,656,218
8	8	8	Lower Kuskokwim	P.T. Albert K-12 Structural & Code Repairs Completion, Tununak	\$126,185	\$850,370	\$724,185	\$126,185	\$2,524	\$123,661	\$24,779,879
9	9	9	Anchorage	Sand Lake Elementary School Renovation	\$12,286,000	\$11,413,807	\$750,000	\$10,663,807	\$3,199,142	\$7,464,665	\$32,244,544
10	10	10	Lower Kuskokwim	Z.J.Williams Structural Repairs Completion, Napaskiak	\$727,876	\$1,692,940	\$965,064	\$727,876	\$14,558	\$713,318	\$32,957,862
11	11	11	Klawock City	Klawock Gym Structural Repairs	\$1,068,642	\$1,068,642	\$0	\$1,068,642	\$320,593	\$748,049	\$33,705,911
12	12	12	Anchorage	Chester Valley Elementary School Renovation	\$14,392,000	\$14,474,125	\$750,000	\$13,724,125	\$4,117,237	\$9,606,888	\$43,312,799
13	13	13	Chugach	Whittier School Roof Renovation	\$1,055,466	\$1,055,466	\$0	\$1,055,466	\$21,109	\$1,034,357	\$44,347,156
14	14	14	Lower Yukon	Pitka's Point K-12 School Renovation	\$9,702,192	\$6,295,098	\$0	\$6,295,098	\$125,902	\$6,169,196	\$50,516,352
15	15	15	Northwest Arctic	Deering School Renovation, Phase 2	\$1,223,825	\$1,223,825	\$0	\$1,223,825	\$122,382	\$1,101,443	\$51,617,795
16	16	16	Haines	Haines School Renovation Completion	\$1,951,711	\$19,451,711	\$17,500,000	\$1,951,711	\$683,099	\$1,268,612	\$52,886,407
17	17	17	Aleutians East	False Pass School Siding Replacement	\$131,489	\$131,489	\$0	\$131,489	\$39,447	\$92,042	\$52,978,449
18	18	18	Aleutians East	Nelson Lagoon School Siding Replacement Completion	\$35,127	\$95,127	\$60,000	\$35,127	\$10,538	\$24,589	\$53,003,038
19	19	19	Yukon-Koyukuk	Allakaket Renovate Water/Sewer Facility Completion	\$634,239	\$938,163	\$303,924	\$634,239	\$12,685	\$621,554	\$53,624,592
20	20	20	Aleutians East	Sand Point School Window Replacement	\$97,068	\$97,068	\$0	\$97,068	\$29,120	\$67,948	\$53,692,540
21	21	21	Anchorage	Clark Middle School Renovation	\$65,000,000	\$44,390,492	\$0	\$44,390,492	\$13,317,148	\$31,073,344	\$84,765,884
22	22	22	Juneau City Borough	Harborview Elementary Renovation	\$17,925,303	\$17,925,303	\$0	\$17,925,303	\$6,273,856	\$11,651,447	\$96,417,331

**State of Alaska
Department of Education and Early Development
Capital Improvement Projects (FY2008)
Major Maintenance Grant Fund**

Final List

Feb 1	Dec 15	Nov 6	School District	Project Name	Amount Requested	Eligible Amount	Prior Funding	EED Recommended Amount	Participating Share	State Share	Aggregate Amount
23	23	23	Yukon-Koyukuk	Huslia High School Foundation & Exterior Renovation	\$667,921	\$667,921	\$0	\$667,921	\$13,358	\$654,563	\$97,071,894
24	24	24	Juneau City Borough	Gastineau Elementary Renovation	\$12,053,566	\$12,053,566	\$0	\$12,053,566	\$4,218,748	\$7,834,818	\$104,906,712
25	25	25	Lower Kuskokwim	Eek K-12 Deferred Maintenance Completion	\$599,443	\$2,611,572	\$2,012,129	\$599,443	\$11,989	\$587,454	\$105,494,166
26	26		Lower Yukon	Ignatius Beans School Improvement, Mountain Village	\$9,711,596	\$9,711,596	\$0	\$9,711,596	\$194,232	\$9,517,364	\$115,011,530
27	27	26	Bering Strait	Diomedea K-12 Renovation	\$14,601,742	\$14,601,742	\$0	\$14,601,742	\$292,035	\$14,309,707	\$129,321,237
28	28	27	Juneau City Borough	Glacier Valley Elementary Renovation	\$5,408,837	\$5,408,837	\$0	\$5,408,837	\$1,893,093	\$3,515,744	\$132,836,981
29	29	28	Juneau City Borough	Auke Bay Elementary Renovation	\$16,187,880	\$16,187,880	\$0	\$16,187,880	\$5,665,758	\$10,522,122	\$143,359,103
30	30	29	Southwest Region	HVAC Upgrade, Aleknagik	\$198,523	\$198,523	\$0	\$198,523	\$3,970	\$194,553	\$143,553,656
31	31	30	Bering Strait	Unalakleet High School Renovation	\$11,605,719	\$11,605,719	\$0	\$11,605,719	\$232,114	\$11,373,605	\$154,927,261
32	32	31	Hoonah City	Hoonah High School Key Card Access System And Door Replacement	\$560,140	\$560,140	\$0	\$560,140	\$168,042	\$392,098	\$155,319,359
33	33	32	Cordova	Mt. Eccles Renovation	\$9,487,321	\$9,487,321	\$0	\$9,487,321	\$2,846,196	\$6,641,125	\$161,960,484
34	34	33	Yupit	Districtwide Site & Utility Completion	\$2,468,224	\$2,468,224	\$0	\$2,468,224	\$49,364	\$2,418,860	\$164,379,344
35	35	34	Yakutat City	Yakutat School Mechanical Upgrades	\$2,568,876	\$2,568,876	\$0	\$2,568,876	\$770,663	\$1,798,213	\$166,177,557
36	36	35	Saint Marys	Districtwide Fuel Tank Farm Replacement	\$2,610,991	\$2,610,991	\$0	\$2,610,991	\$130,550	\$2,480,441	\$168,657,998
37	37	36	Aleutians East	Cold Bay K-12 School Heating Upgrade	\$84,800	\$84,800	\$0	\$84,800	\$25,440	\$59,360	\$168,717,358
38	38	37	Fairbanks	Weller Water Tank Replacement	\$237,880	\$237,880	\$0	\$237,880	\$71,364	\$166,516	\$168,883,874
39	39	38	Delta/Greely	Delta Junction Middle/High School Major Maintenance	\$19,547,629	\$19,547,629	\$0	\$19,547,629	\$390,953	\$19,156,676	\$188,040,550
40	40	39	Copper River	Copper Center Elementary School Upgrade	\$614,809	\$614,809	\$0	\$614,809	\$12,296	\$602,513	\$188,643,063
41	41	40	Klawock City	Klawock School Renovation	\$1,765,191	\$1,765,191	\$0	\$1,765,191	\$529,557	\$1,235,634	\$189,878,697
42	42	41	Chatham	Gustavus School Renovation, Phase 3	\$1,754,136	\$3,815,620	\$2,061,484	\$1,754,136	\$35,083	\$1,719,053	\$191,597,750
43	43	42	Fairbanks	Districtwide Fuel Oil Tank Replacement	\$2,960,716	\$2,960,716	\$0	\$2,960,716	\$888,215	\$2,072,501	\$193,670,251
44	44	43	Fairbanks	Joy Emergency Lighting System Upgrades	\$405,629	\$405,629	\$0	\$405,629	\$121,689	\$283,940	\$193,954,191
45	45	44	Alaska Gateway	Eagle School Water System Upgrade	\$468,033	\$468,033	\$0	\$468,033	\$9,361	\$458,672	\$194,412,863

**State of Alaska
Department of Education and Early Development
Capital Improvement Projects (FY2008)
Major Maintenance Grant Fund**

Final List

Feb 1	Dec 15	Nov 6	School District	Project Name	Amount Requested	Eligible Amount	Prior Funding	EED Recommended Amount	Participating Share	State Share	Aggregate Amount
46	46	45	Anchorage	Inlet View Elementary School Renovation	\$10,587,000	\$8,324,998	\$0	\$8,324,998	\$2,497,499	\$5,827,499	\$200,240,362
47	47	46	Bering Strait	Shaktolik K-12 Renovation	\$8,715,166	\$8,715,166	\$0	\$8,715,166	\$174,303	\$8,540,863	\$208,781,225
48	48	47	Ketchikan	Districtwide Major Maintenance	\$812,368	\$812,368	\$0	\$812,368	\$243,710	\$568,658	\$209,349,883
49	49	48	Copper River	Glennallen High School Upgrade, Phase 2	\$1,558,312	\$1,558,312	\$0	\$1,558,312	\$31,166	\$1,527,146	\$210,877,029
50	50	49	Fairbanks	North Pole Middle School Mechanical Systems Upgrade	\$4,341,677	\$4,341,677	\$0	\$4,341,677	\$1,302,503	\$3,039,174	\$213,916,203
51	51	50	Aleutians East	Akutan School Siding Replacement	\$172,342	\$330,320	\$0	\$330,320	\$99,096	\$231,224	\$214,147,427
52	52	51	Tanana City	Maudry J. Sommer School Major Maintenance	\$5,752,708	\$5,752,708	\$0	\$5,752,708	\$287,635	\$5,465,073	\$219,612,500
53	53	52	Lower Kuskokwim	Ayaprun K-12 Water System Upgrade Completion, Newtok	\$268,432	\$858,684	\$590,252	\$268,432	\$5,369	\$263,063	\$219,875,563
54	54	53	Iditarod Area	McGrath School Roof Repair, Phase 2	\$242,000	\$274,600	\$32,600	\$242,000	\$4,840	\$237,160	\$220,112,723
55	55	54	Annette Island	Metlakatla Elementary School Renovation	\$3,573,885	\$3,573,885	\$0	\$3,573,885	\$71,478	\$3,502,407	\$223,615,130
56	56	55	Mat-Su Borough	Palmer High School Roof Replacement	\$1,212,985	\$1,212,985	\$0	\$1,212,985	\$363,895	\$849,090	\$224,464,220
57	57	56	Denali Borough	Cantwell School Sprinkler Installation, Fire Alarm Upgrade & New Well Completion	\$608,535	\$1,013,535	\$405,000	\$608,535	\$182,560	\$425,975	\$224,890,195
58	58	57	Chatham	Tenakee Springs K-12 School Renovation	\$888,359	\$888,359	\$0	\$888,359	\$17,767	\$870,592	\$225,760,787
59	59	58	Anchorage	Turnagain Elementary School Renovation	\$14,830,000	\$13,782,439	\$0	\$13,782,439	\$4,134,732	\$9,647,707	\$235,408,494
60	60	59	Annette Island	Metlakatla High School Plumbing Renovation	\$1,967,318	\$1,967,318	\$0	\$1,967,318	\$39,346	\$1,927,972	\$237,336,466
61	61	60	Klawock City	Klawock Fuel Tank Decommissioning	\$149,567	\$149,567	\$0	\$149,567	\$44,870	\$104,697	\$237,441,163
62	62	61	Lower Kuskokwim	Districtwide Fuel Tanks Disposition	\$6,232,066	\$6,232,066	\$0	\$6,232,066	\$124,641	\$6,107,425	\$243,548,588
63	63	62	Alaska Gateway	Northway School Energy Upgrade	\$757,142	\$757,142	\$0	\$757,142	\$15,143	\$741,999	\$244,290,587
64	64	63	Craig City	Craig Elementary School Roof Replacement	\$568,487	\$568,487	\$0	\$568,487	\$56,849	\$511,638	\$244,802,225
65	65	64	Lower Kuskokwim	Mikilnguut Elitnaurviat Elementary Deferred Maintenance Completion, Bethel	\$2,236,881	\$6,371,167	\$4,134,286	\$2,236,881	\$44,738	\$2,192,143	\$246,994,368
66	66	65	Hoonah City	Hoonah Pool & Boiler Room Roof Replacement	\$1,060,518	\$1,060,518	\$0	\$1,060,518	\$318,155	\$742,363	\$247,736,731
67	67	66	Juneau City Borough	Marie Drake Renovation	\$12,379,765	\$12,379,765	\$0	\$12,379,765	\$4,332,918	\$8,046,847	\$255,783,578

**State of Alaska
Department of Education and Early Development
Capital Improvement Projects (FY2008)
Major Maintenance Grant Fund**

Final List

Feb 1	Dec 15	Nov 6	School District	Project Name	Amount Requested	Eligible Amount	Prior Funding	EED Recommended Amount	Participating Share	State Share	Aggregate Amount
68	68	67	Yukon Flats	Venetie Generator Building Renovation	\$598,591	\$598,591	\$0	\$598,591	\$11,972	\$586,619	\$256,370,197
69	69	68	Fairbanks	Lathrop Major Maintenance	\$1,347,909	\$1,347,909	\$0	\$1,347,909	\$404,373	\$943,536	\$257,313,733
70	70	69	Yukon Flats	Fort Yukon Soil Remediation & Fuel Tank	\$7,893,801	\$7,893,801	\$0	\$7,893,801	\$157,876	\$7,735,925	\$265,049,658
71	71	70	Mat-Su Borough	Wasilla Middle School Gym Roof Replacement	\$159,528	\$159,528	\$0	\$159,528	\$47,858	\$111,670	\$265,161,328
72	72	71	Craig City	Craig Middle School Renovation	\$734,201	\$734,201	\$0	\$734,201	\$73,420	\$660,781	\$265,822,109
73	73	72	Kenai Peninsula	Window Replacement, 4 Schools	\$1,393,611	\$1,393,611	\$0	\$1,393,611	\$487,764	\$905,847	\$266,727,956
74	74	73	Lower Kuskokwim	Fire Alarm Upgrades, 12 Schools	\$848,980	\$788,196	\$0	\$788,196	\$15,764	\$772,432	\$267,500,388
75	75	74	Lower Kuskokwim	W. Miller Memorial K-12 Deferred Maintenance Completion, Napakiak	\$2,295,637	\$6,856,801	\$4,561,164	\$2,295,637	\$45,913	\$2,249,724	\$269,750,112
76	76	75	Anchorage	Roof Replacement & Structural Upgrades, 2 Schools	\$1,530,650	\$1,530,650	\$0	\$1,530,650	\$459,195	\$1,071,455	\$270,821,567
77	77	76	Denali Borough	Tri-Valley School Gymnasium & Locker Rooms Roof Replacement Completion	\$305,668	\$379,968	\$74,300	\$305,668	\$91,700	\$213,968	\$271,035,535
78	78	77	Juneau City Borough	Districtwide Playgrounds & Site Improvements	\$11,031,034	\$11,031,034	\$0	\$11,031,034	\$3,860,862	\$7,170,172	\$278,205,707
79	79	78	Anchorage	Rabbit Creek Elementary Renovation Design	\$1,300,000	\$1,300,000	\$0	\$1,300,000	\$390,000	\$910,000	\$279,115,707
80	80	79	Yukon-Koyukuk	Nulato School Renovation	\$3,276,081	\$3,276,081	\$0	\$3,276,081	\$65,522	\$3,210,559	\$282,326,266
81	81	80	Mat-Su Borough	Snowshoe Elementary Flooring Replacements	\$123,487	\$123,487	\$0	\$123,487	\$37,046	\$86,441	\$282,412,707
82	82	81	Fairbanks	Woodriver Gymnasium Upgrade	\$859,301	\$859,301	\$0	\$859,301	\$257,790	\$601,511	\$283,014,218
83	83	82	Fairbanks	Districtwide Locker Replacement	\$856,145	\$856,145	\$0	\$856,145	\$256,843	\$599,302	\$283,613,520
84	84	83	Mat-Su Borough	Butte Elementary & Cottonwood Creek Elementary Wash Fountain Replacements	\$112,795	\$112,795	\$0	\$112,795	\$33,838	\$78,957	\$283,692,477
85	85	84	Mat-Su Borough	Colony Middle School Flooring Replacement	\$416,051	\$416,051	\$0	\$416,051	\$124,815	\$291,236	\$283,983,713
86	86	85	Kake City	Kake Elementary School Ventilation System Upgrade Completion	\$122,875	\$516,904	\$394,029	\$122,875	\$12,287	\$110,588	\$284,094,301
87	87	86	Anchorage	Middle School Track Improvements, 4 Schools	\$2,395,800	\$2,395,800	\$0	\$2,395,800	\$718,740	\$1,677,060	\$285,771,361
88	88	87	Lower Kuskokwim	Rocky Mountain K-12 Deferred Maintenance Completion, Good News Bay	\$1,406,260	\$3,587,486	\$2,181,226	\$1,406,260	\$28,125	\$1,378,135	\$287,149,496

**State of Alaska
Department of Education and Early Development
Capital Improvement Projects (FY2008)
Major Maintenance Grant Fund**

Final List

Feb 1	Dec 15	Nov 6	School District	Project Name	Amount Requested	Eligible Amount	Prior Funding	EED Recommended Amount	Participating Share	State Share	Aggregate Amount
89	89	88	Lower Kuskokwim	Wastewater Treatment Plants, 6 Schools	\$19,104,382	\$19,104,382	\$0	\$19,104,382	\$382,088	\$18,722,294	\$305,871,790
90	90	89	Aleutians East	Sand Point K-12 School Gym Floor Replacement	\$590,324	\$590,324	\$0	\$590,324	\$177,097	\$413,227	\$306,285,017
91	91	90	Lower Kuskokwim	Nuniarmiut K-12 Deferred Maintenance Completion, Mekoryuk	\$1,144,118	\$2,962,216	\$1,818,098	\$1,144,118	\$22,882	\$1,121,236	\$307,406,253
92	92	91	Anchorage	Districtwide Security System Upgrades, 9 Schools	\$1,838,111	\$1,838,111	\$0	\$1,838,111	\$551,433	\$1,286,678	\$308,692,931
93	93	92	Fairbanks	Lathrop Kitchen Upgrade	\$2,582,193	\$2,582,193	\$0	\$2,582,193	\$774,658	\$1,807,535	\$310,500,466
94	94	93	Mat-Su Borough	Su-Valley High School Generator Replacement	\$265,910	\$265,910	\$0	\$265,910	\$79,773	\$186,137	\$310,686,603
95	95	94	Southeast Island	Thorne Bay School Underground Storage Tank Replacement	\$152,686	\$152,686	\$0	\$152,686	\$3,054	\$149,632	\$310,836,235
96	96	95	Lower Kuskokwim	Akula Elitnaurvik K-12 Deferred Maintenance Completion, Kasigluk	\$1,249,434	\$3,164,138	\$1,914,704	\$1,249,434	\$24,989	\$1,224,445	\$312,060,680
97	97	96	Mat-Su Borough	Colony High School Resurface Running Track	\$416,051	\$360,708	\$0	\$360,708	\$108,212	\$252,496	\$312,313,176
98	98	97	Fairbanks	North Pole High Lighting Upgrade	\$2,430,631	\$2,430,631	\$0	\$2,430,631	\$729,189	\$1,701,442	\$314,014,618
99	99	98	Kuspuk	Jack Egnaty Sr. School Roof Replacement, Sleetmute	\$567,026	\$567,026	\$0	\$567,026	\$11,341	\$555,685	\$314,570,303
100	100	99	Yukon-Koyukuk	Districtwide Fuel Tank Disposal & Remediation	\$2,443,536	\$2,443,536	\$0	\$2,443,536	\$48,871	\$2,394,665	\$316,964,968
101	101	100	Southeast Island	Thorne Bay School Structural Repairs	\$558,615	\$558,615	\$0	\$558,615	\$11,172	\$547,443	\$317,512,411
102	102	101	Southeast Island	Thorne Bay School Fire Suppression System Replacement	\$922,050	\$922,050	\$0	\$922,050	\$18,441	\$903,609	\$318,416,020
103	103	102	Fairbanks	Administrative Center Replace Rooftop Air Conditioning Units	\$1,145,237	\$1,145,237	\$0	\$1,145,237	\$343,571	\$801,666	\$319,217,686
104	104	103	Kenai Peninsula	Asphalt Repair, 6 Schools	\$1,190,000	\$1,190,000	\$0	\$1,190,000	\$416,500	\$773,500	\$319,991,186
105	105	104	Lower Kuskokwim	Chaputnguak K-12 Water Treatment, Cheforak	\$2,589,653	\$2,589,653	\$0	\$2,589,653	\$51,793	\$2,537,860	\$322,529,046
106	106	105	Mat-Su Borough	Finger Lake Elementary Flooring Replacement	\$261,652	\$261,652	\$0	\$261,652	\$78,496	\$183,156	\$322,712,202
107	107	106	Southeast Island	Port Protection Gym Relocation/Foundation	\$104,579	\$104,579	\$0	\$104,579	\$2,092	\$102,487	\$322,814,689
108	108	107	Fairbanks	North Pole Middle School Interior Renovations	\$4,871,372	\$4,871,372	\$0	\$4,871,372	\$1,461,412	\$3,409,960	\$326,224,649
109	109	108	Lower Kuskokwim	L. Angapak Memorial K-12 Deferred Maintenance Completion, Tuntutuliak	\$1,051,540	\$2,522,075	\$1,470,535	\$1,051,540	\$21,031	\$1,030,509	\$327,255,158

**State of Alaska
Department of Education and Early Development
Capital Improvement Projects (FY2008)
Major Maintenance Grant Fund**

Final List

Feb 1	Dec 15	Nov 6	School District	Project Name	Amount Requested	Eligible Amount	Prior Funding	EED Recommended Amount	Participating Share	State Share	Aggregate Amount
110	110	109	Mat-Su Borough	Administration Building Generator Replacement	\$469,213	\$469,213	\$0	\$469,213	\$140,764	\$328,449	\$327,583,607
111	111	110	Juneau City Borough	Riverbend Elementary Roof Repairs & Site Improvements	\$3,102,478	\$3,102,478	\$0	\$3,102,478	\$1,085,867	\$2,016,611	\$329,600,218
112	112	111	Denali Borough	Anderson School Septic Leachfield Replacement Completion	\$97,906	\$279,446	\$181,540	\$97,906	\$29,372	\$68,534	\$329,668,752
113	113	112	Southeast Island	Gym Lighting Upgrade, 2 Schools	\$300,723	\$300,723	\$0	\$300,723	\$6,014	\$294,709	\$329,963,461
114	114	113	Anchorage	Security System Upgrades, 3 High Schools	\$1,883,365	\$1,883,365	\$0	\$1,883,365	\$565,009	\$1,318,356	\$331,281,817
115	115	114	Fairbanks	Weller Lighting Upgrade	\$1,183,517	\$1,183,517	\$0	\$1,183,517	\$355,055	\$828,462	\$332,110,279
116	116	115	Fairbanks	Salcha Playground Upgrades	\$418,045	\$418,045	\$0	\$418,045	\$125,413	\$292,632	\$332,402,911
117	117	116	Dillingham City	Dillingham High School/Middle School HVAC Distribution & Controls	\$4,026,809	\$4,026,809	\$0	\$4,026,809	\$1,208,043	\$2,818,766	\$335,221,677
118	118	117	Lower Kuskokwim	Districtwide Fuel Tank Upgrades	\$9,063,698	\$9,063,698	\$0	\$9,063,698	\$181,274	\$8,882,424	\$344,104,101
119	119	118	Kuspuk	Aniak, Sleetmute, Red Devil Schools Heating System Replacements	\$2,013,375	\$2,013,375	\$0	\$2,013,375	\$40,267	\$1,973,108	\$346,077,209
120	120	119	Anchorage	Traffic Safety Upgrades, 3 Elementary Schools	\$3,141,160	\$3,141,160	\$0	\$3,141,160	\$942,348	\$2,198,812	\$348,276,021
121	121	120	Hoonah City	Hoonah Schools Major Maintenance	\$5,894,054	\$5,894,054	\$0	\$5,894,054	\$1,768,216	\$4,125,838	\$352,401,859
122	122	121	Juneau City Borough	District Maintenance Facility Renovation	\$3,287,821	\$3,287,821	\$0	\$3,287,821	\$1,150,737	\$2,137,084	\$354,538,943
123	123	122	Lower Kuskokwim	Qugcuun Memorial School Deferred Maintenance Completion, Oscarville	\$144,349	\$362,499	\$218,150	\$144,349	\$2,887	\$141,462	\$354,680,405
124	124	123	Juneau City Borough	Mendenhall River Elementary Renovation	\$3,904,779	\$3,904,779	\$0	\$3,904,779	\$1,366,673	\$2,538,106	\$357,218,511
125	125	124	Anchorage	Districtwide Mechanical Upgrades, 5 Schools	\$2,821,720	\$2,821,720	\$0	\$2,821,720	\$846,516	\$1,975,204	\$359,193,715
126	126	125	Southeast Island	Port Alexander Domestic Water System Pipe Replacement	\$100,138	\$100,138	\$0	\$100,138	\$2,003	\$98,135	\$359,291,850
127	127	126	Iditarod Area	Blackwell School Shower & Bathroom Repair Completion, Anvik	\$137,600	\$220,000	\$82,400	\$137,600	\$2,752	\$134,848	\$359,426,698
128	128	127	Lower Kuskokwim	Mechanical Control Upgrades, 16 Schools	\$10,010,847	\$5,765,620	\$0	\$5,765,620	\$115,312	\$5,650,308	\$365,077,006
129	129	128	Lower Kuskokwim	Generator Replacement, 5 Schools	\$3,759,565	\$3,721,133	\$0	\$3,721,133	\$74,423	\$3,646,710	\$368,723,716
130	130	129	Petersburg City	Elementary & Covered Entrances & Sidewalks Re-Siding, Petersburg	\$2,173,041	\$2,173,041	\$0	\$2,173,041	\$651,912	\$1,521,129	\$370,244,845

**State of Alaska
Department of Education and Early Development
Capital Improvement Projects (FY2008)
Major Maintenance Grant Fund**

Final List

Feb 1	Dec 15	Nov 6	School District	Project Name	Amount Requested	Eligible Amount	Prior Funding	EED Recommended Amount	Participating Share	State Share	Aggregate Amount
131	131	130	Anchorage	Emergency Communications Systems, 7 Middle Schools	\$1,311,035	\$1,311,035	\$0	\$1,311,035	\$393,310	\$917,725	\$371,162,570
132	132	131	Southeast Island	Districtwide Roof Replacement, 3 Schools	\$1,411,288	\$1,411,288	\$0	\$1,411,288	\$28,226	\$1,383,062	\$372,545,632
133	133	132	Yukon Flats	Cruikshank School Soil Remediation & Fuel Tank Replacement, Beaver	\$1,548,003	\$1,548,003	\$0	\$1,548,003	\$30,960	\$1,517,043	\$374,062,675
134	134	133	Lower Kuskokwim	Mikelnguut Elitnaurviat Elementary & Bethel Regional High School Drainage Upgrades	\$5,794,810	\$5,794,810	\$0	\$5,794,810	\$115,896	\$5,678,914	\$379,741,589
135	135	134	Petersburg City	Roofing, Ventilation & Electrical Upgrades	\$1,571,653	\$1,571,653	\$0	\$1,571,653	\$471,496	\$1,100,157	\$380,841,746
136	136	135	Fairbanks	Districtwide Safety Communication Systems Upgrades	\$1,939,180	\$1,939,180	\$0	\$1,939,180	\$581,754	\$1,357,426	\$382,199,172
137	137	136	Anchorage	Districtwide Electrical Projects, 6 Schools	\$1,523,995	\$1,523,995	\$0	\$1,523,995	\$457,198	\$1,066,797	\$383,265,969
138	138	137	Fairbanks	Badger Road Repair/Refinish Building Exterior	\$778,591	\$778,591	\$0	\$778,591	\$233,577	\$545,014	\$383,810,983
139	139	138	Yukon Flats	Stevens Village Soil Remediation & Fuel Tank Replacement	\$901,880	\$901,880	\$0	\$901,880	\$18,038	\$883,842	\$384,694,825
140	140	139	Mat-Su Borough	Houston Middle School Roof Repair	\$91,159	\$91,159	\$0	\$91,159	\$27,348	\$63,811	\$384,758,636
141	141	140	Iditarod Area	Shageluk Tank Farm Completion	\$85,500	\$325,500	\$240,000	\$85,500	\$1,710	\$83,790	\$384,842,426
142	142	141	Anchorage	Roof Replacement & Structural Upgrades, 3 Schools	\$2,721,895	\$2,721,895	\$0	\$2,721,895	\$816,568	\$1,905,327	\$386,747,753
143	143	142	Anchorage	Sprinklers/Hazardous Materials/ADA Compliance, 2 Schools	\$732,050	\$732,050	\$0	\$732,050	\$219,615	\$512,435	\$387,260,188
144	144	143	Kuspuk	Auntie Mary Nicoli Elementary School, Renovation, Aniak	\$10,456,056	\$10,456,056	\$0	\$10,456,056	\$209,121	\$10,246,935	\$397,507,123
145	145	144	Petersburg City	Districtwide Lighting Upgrades	\$1,137,250	\$1,137,250	\$0	\$1,137,250	\$341,175	\$796,075	\$398,303,198
146	146	145	Anchorage	Gladys Wood Elementary Renovation Design	\$1,100,000	\$1,100,000	\$0	\$1,100,000	\$330,000	\$770,000	\$399,073,198
147	147	146	Southeast Island	Generator Replacement, 2 Schools	\$662,700	\$662,700	\$0	\$662,700	\$13,254	\$649,446	\$399,722,644
148	148	147	Anchorage	Emergency Communications Systems, 3 High Schools	\$758,670	\$758,670	\$0	\$758,670	\$227,601	\$531,069	\$400,253,713
149	149	148	Iditarod Area	Nikolai Generator Project	\$62,600	\$62,600	\$0	\$62,600	\$1,252	\$61,348	\$400,315,061
150	150	149	Anchorage	Sprinklers/Hazardous Materials/ADA Compliance, 6 Schools	\$2,728,550	\$2,728,550	\$0	\$2,728,550	\$818,565	\$1,909,985	\$402,225,046

State of Alaska
 Department of Education and Early Development
 Capital Improvement Projects (FY2008)
 Major Maintenance Grant Fund

Final List

Feb 1	Dec 15	Nov 6	School District	Project Name	Amount Requested	Eligible Amount	Prior Funding	EED Recommended Amount	Participating Share	State Share	Aggregate Amount
151	151	150	Anchorage	Districtwide Mechanical Upgrades, 15 Schools	\$7,553,425	\$7,553,425	\$0	\$7,553,425	\$2,266,027	\$5,287,398	\$407,512,444
152	152	151	Southeast Island	Thorne Bay Kitchen Upgrade	\$1,239,700	\$1,239,700	\$0	\$1,239,700	\$24,794	\$1,214,906	\$408,727,350
153	153	152	Mat-Su Borough	Wasilla High School Security System Upgrade	\$111,844	\$111,844	\$0	\$111,844	\$33,553	\$78,291	\$408,805,641
154	154	153	Anchorage	Districtwide General Building Renewal Project, 11 Schools	\$7,253,950	\$7,253,950	\$0	\$7,253,950	\$2,176,185	\$5,077,765	\$413,883,406
155	155	154	Anchorage	Districtwide Electrical Projects, 14 Schools	\$3,028,025	\$3,028,025	\$0	\$3,028,025	\$908,407	\$2,119,618	\$416,003,024
156	156	155	Iditarod Area	David Louis Memorial School Emergency Repairs & Boiler Relocation Completion, Grayling	\$74,300	\$254,428	\$180,128	\$74,300	\$1,486	\$72,814	\$416,075,838
157	157	156	Anchorage	Districtwide General Building Renewal Projects, 10 Schools	\$9,736,265	\$9,736,265	\$0	\$9,736,265	\$2,920,879	\$6,815,386	\$422,891,224
158	158	157	Anchorage	Districtwide Traffic Safety Upgrades, 4 Schools	\$4,924,700	\$4,924,700	\$0	\$4,924,700	\$1,477,410	\$3,447,290	\$426,338,514
159	159	158	Mat-Su Borough	Trapper Creek School Roof Repairs	\$99,542	\$99,542	\$0	\$99,542	\$29,863	\$69,679	\$426,408,193
160	160	159	Iditarod Area	McGrath School/Admin Window Replacement	\$118,600	\$118,600	\$0	\$118,600	\$2,372	\$116,228	\$426,524,421
161	161	160	Iditarod Area	McGrath Library/Media Center Flooring Upgrade	\$77,600	\$77,600	\$0	\$77,600	\$1,552	\$76,048	\$426,600,469
162	162	161	Kenai Peninsula	HVAC Upgrades, 7 Schools	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$0	\$750,000	\$262,500	\$487,500	\$427,087,969
163	163	162	Iditarod Area	Districtwide Lighting Upgrades	\$200,700	\$200,700	\$0	\$200,700	\$4,014	\$196,686	\$427,284,655
164	164	163	Iditarod Area	Takotna Heating System Upgrade	\$62,500	\$62,500	\$0	\$62,500	\$1,250	\$61,250	\$427,345,905
165	165	164	Iditarod Area	Innoko River School Fire Suppression/Tank Upgrade, Shageluk	\$53,700	\$53,700	\$0	\$53,700	\$1,074	\$52,626	\$427,398,531
TOTALS:					\$563,166,826	\$590,118,235	\$60,809,450	\$529,308,785	\$101,910,254	\$427,398,531	

**Alaska Department of Education and Early Development
Capital Improvement Projects (FY2008)
Major Maintenance Grant Fund
Total Points - Objective and Subjective
Final List**

Pri. #	School District	Project Name	School Dist Rank	Weight Avg. Age	Prev. 14.11 Fund	Plan and Design	Avg Expend Maint	Un-Housed Today	Un-housed 7 Years	Type of Space	Adeq Fixed Asset	Maint Labor	Maint Type	Maint Mgt	Energy Mgt	Cusd Pgm	Maint Train	Capital Plan	Emerg-ency	Adeq Doc	Life/Safety and Code Conditions	Exist-ing Space	Cost Esti-mate	Proj vs Oper Cost	Alter-natives	Op-tions	Total Points
1	Chugach	Chenega Bay School Roof Renovation	30.00	4.50	0.00	30.00	0.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	2.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	3.00	31.67	20.00	30.00	28.33	30.00	10.00	4.33	9.67	278.33
2	Yakutat City	Yakutat Elementary Emergency Repairs Completion	27.00	14.75	0.00	20.00	2.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	2.33	1.33	2.33	1.33	2.33	31.00	21.00	29.33	26.67	18.33	0.00	4.00	8.67	247.78
3	Saint Marys	St. Mary's School Complex Renovation Project	30.00	20.00	0.00	30.00	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.33	3.33	3.00	3.33	5.00	0.00	20.00	17.00	5.33	18.00	3.67	4.67	8.33	211.97
4	Northwest Arctic	Shungnak K-12 Renovation, Phase 5	27.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	4.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	10.00	3.67	4.67	3.33	3.00	4.67	4.00	23.00	21.00	5.67	23.67	7.33	3.67	12.67	211.51
5	Lower Kuskokwim	Bethel Regional High School Renovation, Phase 2	18.00	20.00	0.00	30.00	3.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	0.00	20.67	8.33	0.00	26.00	4.00	4.00	12.00	206.38
6	Ketchikan	Houghtaling & Valley Park Elementary Schools Playground Upgrades	30.00	20.00	0.00	30.00	3.55	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	4.00	3.33	4.00	3.67	0.00	26.33	8.00	3.33	17.33	0.33	1.00	11.00	205.88
7	Yukon Flats	Fort Yukon Gym Renovation Completion	27.00	20.00	0.00	30.00	2.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	2.00	1.33	1.67	1.33	2.67	3.67	18.67	15.00	5.00	24.33	0.00	1.67	8.00	199.93
8	Lower Kuskokwim	P.T. Albert K-12 Structural & Code Repairs Completion, Tununak	6.00	10.22	0.00	20.00	3.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	13.67	21.67	19.33	0.00	19.67	6.33	4.33	14.00	198.60
9	Anchorage	Sand Lake Elementary School Renovation	24.00	20.00	0.00	30.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	19.33	12.67	3.67	19.67	3.00	1.33	8.67	195.35
10	Lower Kuskokwim	Z.J.Williams Structural Repairs Completion, Napaskiak	15.00	10.95	0.00	20.00	3.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	9.67	21.00	18.67	0.67	21.67	2.00	4.67	7.00	194.66
11	Klawock City	Klawock Gym Structural Repairs	30.00	20.00	0.00	10.00	2.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	3.33	2.67	2.67	3.00	2.33	9.67	21.33	13.00	6.00	14.33	1.33	3.33	13.33	193.98
12	Anchorage	Chester Valley Elementary School Renovation	27.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	19.00	15.00	5.00	18.33	2.00	3.33	8.67	191.35
13	Chugach	Whittier School Roof Renovation	27.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	0.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	5.00	2.67	4.67	3.33	3.33	2.33	0.00	19.67	11.67	2.33	15.00	6.00	3.67	15.67	188.18
14	Lower Yukon	Pitka's Point K-12 School Renovation	18.00	18.74	0.00	10.00	3.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	3.67	3.67	3.33	3.67	3.00	5.33	19.67	18.00	5.33	16.00	0.33	2.33	18.67	187.95
15	Northwest Arctic	Deering School Renovation, Phase 2	21.00	14.31	0.00	30.00	4.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	10.00	3.67	4.67	3.33	3.00	4.67	2.00	20.67	13.67	0.67	22.67	4.00	4.67	8.67	185.82
16	Haines	Haines School Renovation Completion	30.00	20.00	0.00	30.00	1.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	5.00	4.00	4.33	3.67	3.00	3.33	0.00	19.33	7.67	4.67	15.00	0.33	0.33	7.00	184.45
17	Aleutians East	False Pass School Siding Replacement	27.00	4.39	0.00	30.00	2.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	2.33	1.33	1.33	1.33	3.00	0.00	14.00	9.33	0.00	28.00	2.67	0.00	21.33	183.27
18	Aleutians East	Nelson Lagoon School Siding Replacement Completion	21.00	15.00	0.00	30.00	2.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	2.33	1.33	1.33	1.33	3.00	0.00	13.67	7.33	0.00	25.67	2.33	0.00	21.33	182.88
19	Yukon-Koyukuk	Allakaket Renovate Water/Sewer Facility Completion	30.00	20.00	0.00	30.00	2.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	3.33	2.67	3.00	2.67	3.67	9.00	14.00	13.33	3.00	12.00	3.00	1.00	4.00	181.91
20	Aleutians East	Sand Point School Window Replacement	30.00	10.59	0.00	30.00	2.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	2.33	1.33	1.33	1.33	3.00	0.00	13.33	4.67	0.00	26.67	9.33	0.00	8.67	179.80
21	Anchorage	Clark Middle School Renovation	30.00	20.00	0.00	10.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	18.00	14.33	3.67	18.00	0.33	1.00	9.33	177.69

**Alaska Department of Education and Early Development
Capital Improvement Projects (FY2008)
Major Maintenance Grant Fund
Total Points - Objective and Subjective
Final List**

Pri. #	School District	Project Name	School Dist Rank	Weight Avg. Age	Prev. 14.11 Fund	Plan and Design	Avg Expend Maint	Un-Housed Today	Un-housed 7 Years	Type of Space	Adeq Fixed Asset	Maint Labor	Maint Type	Maint Mgt	Energy Mgt	Cusd Pgm	Maint Train	Capital Plan	Emerg-ency	Adeq Doc	Life/Safety and Code Conditions	Exist-ing Space	Cost Esti-mate	Proj vs Oper Cost	Alter-natives	Op-tions	Total Points
22	Juneau City Borough	Harborview Elementary Renovation	27.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	5.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	5.00	0.00	19.33	12.67	4.33	16.67	4.33	4.67	12.33	177.58
23	Yukon-Koyukuk	Huslia High School Foundation & Exterior Renovation	27.00	13.32	0.00	30.00	2.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	3.33	2.67	3.00	2.67	3.67	4.67	15.67	13.33	0.00	17.00	3.67	2.33	8.00	177.56
24	Juneau City Borough	Gastineau Elementary Renovation	24.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	5.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	5.00	0.00	19.33	14.67	5.00	16.67	3.00	4.67	11.67	175.25
25	Lower Kuskokwim	Eek K-12 Deferred Maintenance Completion	9.00	13.55	0.00	20.00	3.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	0.00	18.00	14.00	0.00	18.00	5.33	4.67	9.00	174.93
26	Lower Yukon	Ignatius Beans School Improvement, Mountain Village	21.00	2.24	0.00	10.00	3.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	3.67	3.67	3.33	3.67	3.00	4.67	18.33	18.33	9.00	16.33	3.67	2.00	12.67	173.79
27	Bering Strait	Diomedea K-12 Renovation	30.00	14.33	0.00	0.00	2.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	3.67	4.67	16.33	18.00	1.00	14.67	5.33	4.67	10.67	173.57
28	Juneau City Borough	Glacier Valley Elementary Renovation	30.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	5.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	5.00	0.00	19.00	5.33	3.67	17.00	4.33	4.67	12.33	172.58
29	Juneau City Borough	Auke Bay Elementary Renovation	21.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	5.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	5.00	0.00	18.67	13.00	5.67	16.67	3.00	4.67	13.00	171.92
30	Southwest Region	HVAC Upgrade, Aleknagik	27.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	2.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	0.00	16.33	7.33	0.67	20.67	17.00	0.00	6.67	167.64
31	Bering Strait	Unalakleet High School Renovation	27.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	2.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	3.67	0.00	16.33	16.33	4.33	13.33	3.00	4.00	8.00	166.23
32	Hoonah City	Hoonah High School Key Card Access System And Door Replacement	24.00	20.00	0.00	30.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	1.67	1.00	1.33	1.00	2.33	0.00	15.33	5.00	0.00	12.67	4.33	0.33	8.67	165.67
33	Cordova	Mt. Eccles Renovation	30.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	2.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	2.33	1.33	1.67	1.00	2.33	0.00	17.00	12.33	3.33	12.00	9.33	3.33	11.33	164.62
34	Yupit	Districtwide Site & Utility Completion	30.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	2.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	3.67	4.00	3.33	3.33	3.67	4.00	15.67	5.33	0.00	15.67	0.00	1.67	6.67	164.08
35	Yakutat City	Yakutat School Mechanical Upgrades	30.00	15.89	0.00	0.00	2.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.67	2.00	0.00	13.67	10.00	3.00	14.67	6.33	0.67	12.00	161.57
36	Saint Marys	Districtwide Fuel Tank Farm Replacement	27.00	18.75	0.00	10.00	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.33	3.33	3.00	3.33	5.00	2.67	14.67	11.33	0.00	13.33	0.00	0.33	7.33	160.39
37	Aleutians East	Cold Bay K-12 School Heating Upgrade	24.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	2.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	2.33	1.33	1.33	1.33	3.00	0.00	13.00	7.67	0.33	24.67	15.67	0.00	8.00	159.88
38	Fairbanks	Weller Water Tank Replacement	30.00	11.25	0.00	0.00	3.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	6.33	14.67	9.67	0.00	11.67	3.67	2.00	7.67	159.86
39	Delta/Greely	Delta Junction Middle/High School Major Maintenance	27.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	2.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	2.00	1.67	1.67	1.33	2.33	0.00	16.33	13.33	5.00	12.00	5.00	0.67	11.00	156.50
40	Copper River	Copper Center Elementary School Upgrade	24.00	12.50	0.00	10.00	2.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	3.33	3.67	3.67	2.67	2.67	0.00	18.33	10.33	0.67	14.33	4.00	3.67	9.33	155.52
41	Klawock City	Klawock School Renovation	27.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	2.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	3.33	2.67	2.67	3.00	2.33	0.00	18.00	9.33	0.00	15.33	6.67	0.00	6.67	154.65
42	Chatham	Gustavus School Renovation, Phase 3	27.00	20.00	0.00	10.00	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	2.00	2.67	2.33	2.33	3.00	0.00	13.33	5.67	1.00	14.67	4.67	1.67	8.00	154.30
43	Fairbanks	Districtwide Fuel Oil Tank Replacement	21.00	14.34	0.00	0.00	3.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	0.00	13.67	12.00	0.00	13.33	5.67	2.67	8.33	153.96

**Alaska Department of Education and Early Development
Capital Improvement Projects (FY2008)
Major Maintenance Grant Fund
Total Points - Objective and Subjective
Final List**

Pri. #	School District	Project Name	School Dist Rank	Weight Avg. Age	Prev. 14.11 Fund	Plan and Design	Avg Expend Maint	Un-Housed Today	Un-housed 7 Years	Type of Space	Adeq Fixed Asset	Maint Labor	Maint Type	Maint Mgt	Energy Mgt	Cusd Pgm	Maint Train	Capital Plan	Emerg-ency	Adeq Doc	Life/Safety and Code Conditions	Exist-ing Space	Cost Estimate	Proj vs Oper Cost	Alter-natives	Options	Total Points
44	Fairbanks	Joy Emergency Lighting System Upgrades	27.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	0.00	13.33	5.67	0.00	12.33	3.00	0.00	7.67	151.94
45	Alaska Gateway	Eagle School Water System Upgrade	30.00	7.50	0.00	0.00	2.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	2.67	3.00	2.33	3.67	2.33	12.33	17.00	12.00	0.00	11.67	0.00	1.67	7.00	150.98
46	Anchorage	Inlet View Elementary School Renovation	6.00	20.00	0.00	10.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	16.67	15.00	2.67	15.33	3.00	1.33	7.67	150.69
47	Bering Strait	Shaktolik K-12 Renovation	24.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	2.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	3.67	0.00	14.00	14.67	1.33	12.00	0.33	2.00	8.33	150.57
48	Ketchikan	Districtwide Major Maintenance	27.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.55	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	4.00	3.33	4.00	3.67	0.00	13.67	5.00	0.00	13.33	4.00	1.00	8.00	150.55
49	Copper River	Glennallen High School Upgrade, Phase 2	27.00	6.94	0.00	10.00	2.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	2.67	3.33	3.00	2.67	2.67	0.00	18.33	9.33	0.67	14.33	4.00	3.67	9.00	149.96
50	Fairbanks	North Pole Middle School Mechanical Systems Upgrade	24.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	0.00	13.33	6.33	0.00	12.33	3.33	0.00	7.33	149.61
51	Aleutians East	Akutan School Siding Replacement	15.00	3.73	0.00	30.00	2.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	2.33	1.33	1.33	1.33	3.00	0.00	11.33	7.33	0.00	10.00	2.33	0.00	20.67	146.94
52	Tanana City	Maudry J. Sommer School Major Maintenance	30.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	1.93	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	3.33	4.00	3.33	4.00	3.33	0.00	14.00	5.33	0.00	14.67	4.00	0.33	8.33	146.60
53	Lower Kuskokwim	Ayaprun K-12 Water System Upgrade Completion, Newtok	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	3.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	7.33	15.67	8.67	1.00	14.33	11.67	3.33	10.33	145.71
54	Iditarod Area	McGrath School Roof Repair, Phase 2	27.00	20.00	0.00	30.00	3.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	1.33	1.33	1.67	2.67	3.00	13.67	7.00	0.00	18.00	1.67	1.33	1.67	145.35
55	Annette Island	Metlakatla Elementary School Renovation	30.00	18.89	0.00	0.00	1.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	2.67	2.67	3.00	4.00	4.67	0.00	13.00	5.33	1.67	12.33	4.33	0.67	9.67	144.32
56	Mat-Su Borough	Palmer High School Roof Replacement	30.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	2.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.00	5.00	1.33	1.67	5.00	0.00	12.33	10.00	0.00	14.00	1.00	0.67	1.33	144.05
57	Denali Borough	Cantwell School Sprinkler Installation, Fire Alarm Upgrade & New Well Completion	30.00	14.83	0.00	10.00	2.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	0.00	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.00	3.67	0.00	12.67	15.00	0.00	14.67	0.00	0.00	8.00	143.79
58	Chatham	Tenakee Springs K-12 School Renovation	30.00	8.75	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	2.00	2.67	2.33	2.33	3.00	0.00	16.33	16.67	0.00	11.67	0.00	0.00	11.67	143.39
59	Anchorage	Turnagain Elementary School Renovation	0.00	20.00	0.00	10.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	17.00	18.00	3.00	12.33	1.67	1.00	7.33	143.35
60	Annette Island	Metlakatla High School Plumbing Renovation	27.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	1.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	2.67	2.67	3.00	4.00	4.67	0.00	14.00	4.67	0.67	14.00	4.00	0.33	10.00	143.10
61	Klawock City	Klawock Fuel Tank Decommissioning	24.00	12.50	0.00	10.00	2.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	3.33	2.67	2.67	3.00	2.33	0.00	16.67	3.67	0.00	13.00	2.67	0.33	8.33	142.82
62	Lower Kuskokwim	Districtwide Fuel Tanks Disposition	0.00	14.46	0.00	30.00	3.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	0.00	9.67	5.00	0.00	8.33	0.00	4.00	7.33	142.18
63	Alaska Gateway	Northway School Energy Upgrade	27.00	14.41	0.00	0.00	2.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	2.67	3.00	2.33	3.67	2.33	0.00	12.00	5.00	0.00	11.67	13.33	0.67	5.00	140.89
64	Craig City	Craig Elementary School Roof Replacement	30.00	12.50	0.00	10.00	2.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	5.00	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.33	0.33	0.00	14.00	7.00	1.67	13.33	4.33	0.33	9.00	140.02

**Alaska Department of Education and Early Development
Capital Improvement Projects (FY2008)
Major Maintenance Grant Fund
Total Points - Objective and Subjective
Final List**

Pri. #	School District	Project Name	School Dist Rank	Weight Avg. Age	Prev. 14.11 Fund	Plan and Design	Avg Expend Maint	Un-Housed Today	Un-housed 7 Years	Type of Space	Adeq Fixed Asset	Maint Labor	Maint Type	Maint Mgt	Energy Mgt	Cusd Pgm	Maint Train	Capital Plan	Emergency	Adeq Doc	Life/Safety and Code Conditions	Existing Space	Cost Estimate	Proj vs Oper Cost	Alternatives	Options	Total Points
65	Lower Kuskokwim	Mikelnguut Elitnaurviat Elementary Deferred Maintenance Completion, Bethel	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	0.00	13.33	8.33	1.33	12.67	5.33	4.00	11.33	139.71
66	Hoonah City	Hoonah Pool & Boiler Room Roof Replacement	30.00	4.11	0.00	10.00	3.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	0.00	3.00	3.33	2.33	2.67	3.33	6.00	16.33	10.00	0.67	14.33	1.67	0.33	12.67	138.80
67	Juneau City Borough	Marie Drake Renovation	18.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	5.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	5.00	0.00	13.00	0.67	0.00	15.33	3.00	3.33	8.67	138.25
68	Yukon Flats	Venetie Generator Building Renovation	21.00	7.50	0.00	10.00	2.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	2.00	1.33	1.67	1.33	2.67	0.00	17.00	8.67	0.00	14.00	2.00	0.00	11.33	138.09
69	Fairbanks	Lathrop Major Maintenance	12.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	0.00	12.67	8.00	3.33	11.33	0.00	0.67	7.00	137.94
70	Yukon Flats	Fort Yukon Soil Remediation & Fuel Tank	24.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	2.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	2.00	1.33	1.67	1.33	2.67	0.00	15.67	11.67	0.00	12.00	0.33	0.00	7.67	137.93
71	Mat-Su Borough	Wasilla Middle School Gym Roof Replacement	27.00	15.00	0.00	0.00	2.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.00	5.00	1.33	1.67	5.00	0.00	12.00	11.00	0.00	13.00	1.00	0.33	1.33	135.39
72	Craig City	Craig Middle School Renovation	27.00	14.70	0.00	0.00	2.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	5.00	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.33	0.33	0.00	17.67	9.00	4.67	14.00	1.00	0.33	9.00	135.22
73	Kenai Peninsula	Window Replacement, 4 Schools	27.00	20.00	0.00	30.00	2.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	1.67	4.00	0.00	3.00	0.00	15.33	4.33	0.00	13.33	0.00	0.33	1.00	134.87
74	Lower Kuskokwim	Fire Alarm Upgrades, 12 Schools	3.00	11.35	0.00	0.00	3.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	0.00	14.00	10.67	0.00	15.00	4.67	4.00	8.67	134.73
75	Lower Kuskokwim	W. Miller Memorial K-12 Deferred Maintenance Completion, Napakiak	0.00	17.10	0.00	0.00	3.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	0.00	13.33	6.67	0.33	12.67	3.67	4.00	12.00	133.15
76	Anchorage	Roof Replacement & Structural Upgrades, 2 Schools	0.00	16.57	0.00	10.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	15.33	11.67	0.00	15.67	3.33	0.00	7.33	132.93
77	Denali Borough	Tri-Valley School Gymnasium & Locker Rooms Roof Replacement Completion	24.00	20.00	0.00	10.00	2.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	0.00	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.00	3.67	0.00	11.33	13.67	0.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	3.00	132.62
78	Juneau City Borough	Districtwide Playgrounds & Site Improvements	15.00	16.58	0.00	10.00	3.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	5.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	5.00	0.00	9.33	2.00	4.00	7.00	0.00	4.33	8.00	132.50
79	Anchorage	Rabbit Creek Elementary Renovation Design	0.00	20.00	0.00	10.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	14.33	9.00	2.33	14.33	0.67	1.00	7.67	132.35
80	Yukon-Koyukuk	Nulato School Renovation	21.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	2.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	3.33	2.67	3.00	2.67	3.67	0.00	15.67	15.67	0.00	11.33	0.00	0.00	6.00	132.24
81	Mat-Su Borough	Snowshoe Elementary Flooring Replacements	0.00	16.25	0.00	30.00	2.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.00	5.00	1.33	1.67	5.00	0.00	11.67	6.00	0.00	11.00	1.33	0.33	0.67	131.97
82	Fairbanks	Woodriver Gymnasium Upgrade	15.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	0.00	12.00	3.33	0.00	11.00	0.00	0.33	7.33	131.94
83	Fairbanks	Districtwide Locker Replacement	9.00	19.96	0.00	0.00	3.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	0.00	12.67	4.67	0.00	11.33	3.33	0.33	7.67	131.90
84	Mat-Su Borough	Butte Elementary & Cottonwod Creek Elementary Wash Fountain Replacements	3.00	13.13	0.00	30.00	2.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.00	5.00	1.33	1.67	5.00	0.00	10.33	7.33	0.00	10.67	0.33	0.67	1.67	131.85
85	Mat-Su Borough	Colony Middle School Flooring Replacement	9.00	6.00	0.00	30.00	2.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.00	5.00	1.33	1.67	5.00	0.00	12.00	6.00	0.00	11.33	1.33	0.33	1.00	131.72

**Alaska Department of Education and Early Development
Capital Improvement Projects (FY2008)
Major Maintenance Grant Fund
Total Points - Objective and Subjective
Final List**

Pri. #	School District	Project Name	School Dist Rank	Weight Avg. Age	Prev. 14.11 Fund	Plan and Design	Avg Expend Maint	Un-Housed Today	Un-housed 7 Years	Type of Space	Adeq Fixed Asset	Maint Labor	Maint Type	Maint Mgt	Energy Mgt	Cusd Pgm	Maint Train	Capital Plan	Emergency	Adeq Doc	Life/Safety and Code Conditions	Existing Space	Cost Estimate	Proj vs Oper Cost	Alter-natives	Options	Total Points
86	Kake City	Kake Elementary School Ventilation System Upgrade Completion	30.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	2.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	2.67	1.33	1.33	1.00	2.00	0.00	16.00	5.33	4.67	18.67	0.00	0.67	5.33	131.41
87	Anchorage	Middle School Track Improvements, 4 Schools	0.00	20.00	0.00	30.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	8.67	4.00	0.00	7.67	0.33	0.00	6.33	130.02
88	Lower Kuskokwim	Rocky Mountain K-12 Deferred Maintenance Completion, Good News Bay	0.00	12.52	0.00	0.00	3.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	0.00	13.33	6.33	0.33	12.00	5.33	4.33	12.00	129.57
89	Lower Kuskokwim	Wastewater Treatment Plants, 6 Schools	0.00	13.15	0.00	10.00	3.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	0.00	11.33	8.00	0.00	12.33	0.00	3.00	7.33	128.53
90	Aleutians East	Sand Point K-12 School Gym Floor Replacement	18.00	10.59	0.00	0.00	2.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	2.33	1.33	1.33	1.33	3.00	0.00	11.67	7.67	5.00	10.00	2.33	0.67	16.00	128.46
91	Lower Kuskokwim	Nuniarmiut K-12 Deferred Maintenance Completion, Mekoryuk	0.00	12.90	0.00	0.00	3.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	0.00	13.33	5.67	0.33	12.00	4.33	4.33	11.67	127.95
92	Anchorage	Districtwide Security System Upgrades, 9 Schools	0.00	20.00	0.00	10.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	12.33	6.67	0.00	9.33	9.00	0.00	7.33	127.69
93	Fairbanks	Lathrop Kitchen Upgrade	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	0.00	12.00	8.00	0.00	11.67	3.67	3.00	6.33	127.61
94	Mat-Su Borough	Su-Valley High School Generator Replacement	18.00	17.89	0.00	0.00	2.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.00	5.00	1.33	1.67	5.00	0.00	12.33	5.33	0.00	11.33	1.67	0.33	5.00	126.61
95	Southeast Island	Thorne Bay School Underground Storage Tank Replacement	21.00	5.25	0.00	0.00	2.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.33	4.67	3.67	3.00	4.00	0.00	12.67	9.67	0.00	11.67	0.00	0.00	8.33	125.71
96	Lower Kuskokwim	Akula Elitnaurvik K-12 Deferred Maintenance Completion, Kasigluk	0.00	12.14	0.00	0.00	3.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	0.00	13.33	4.33	0.00	12.00	4.33	4.33	11.67	125.52
97	Mat-Su Borough	Colony High School Resurface Running Track	6.00	6.00	0.00	30.00	2.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.00	5.00	1.33	1.67	5.00	0.00	10.67	4.33	0.67	9.67	0.67	0.33	2.33	125.39
98	Fairbanks	North Pole High Lighting Upgrade	6.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	3.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	0.00	13.33	5.67	1.00	12.00	6.67	0.33	7.33	125.28
99	Kuspuk	Jack Egnaty Sr. School Roof Replacement, Sleetmute	27.00	15.00	0.00	0.00	2.91	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.33	9.00	12.67	15.00	0.00	17.00	7.00	0.00	8.33	125.24
100	Yukon-Koyukuk	Districtwide Fuel Tank Disposal & Remediation	24.00	19.33	0.00	0.00	2.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	3.33	2.67	3.00	2.67	3.67	1.00	12.00	11.00	0.00	11.33	0.00	0.00	3.67	124.90
101	Southeast Island	Thorne Bay School Structural Repairs	18.00	5.25	0.00	0.00	2.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.33	4.67	3.67	3.00	4.00	0.00	12.33	11.67	0.00	9.67	0.00	2.00	8.00	124.04
102	Southeast Island	Thorne Bay School Fire Supression System Replacement	15.00	4.17	0.00	0.00	2.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.33	4.67	3.67	3.00	4.00	0.00	12.00	9.33	0.00	11.67	6.67	0.00	8.00	123.97
103	Fairbanks	Administrative Center Replace Rooftop Air Conditioning Units	18.00	2.25	0.00	0.00	3.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	0.00	13.00	2.00	0.00	12.33	5.33	0.33	7.67	123.86
104	Kenai Peninsula	Asphalt Repair, 6 Schools	24.00	20.00	0.00	30.00	2.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	1.67	4.00	0.00	3.00	0.00	10.00	3.00	0.00	11.67	0.00	0.00	1.33	123.53
105	Lower Kuskokwim	Chaputnguak K-12 Water Treatment, Cheforak	0.00	6.36	0.00	0.00	3.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	3.67	17.33	8.33	0.00	11.33	0.00	4.67	8.33	123.41
106	Mat-Su Borough	Finger Lake Elementary Flooring Replacement	0.00	7.50	0.00	30.00	2.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.00	5.00	1.33	1.67	5.00	0.00	11.67	6.00	0.00	11.00	1.33	0.33	0.67	123.22

**Alaska Department of Education and Early Development
Capital Improvement Projects (FY2008)
Major Maintenance Grant Fund
Total Points - Objective and Subjective
Final List**

Pri. #	School District	Project Name	School Dist Rank	Weight Avg. Age	Prev. 14.11 Fund	Plan and Design	Avg Expend Maint	Un-Housed Today	Un-housed 7 Years	Type of Space	Adeq Fixed Asset	Maint Labor	Maint Type	Maint Mgt	Energy Mgt	Cusd Pgm	Maint Train	Capital Plan	Emergency	Adeq Doc	Life/Safety and Code Conditions	Exist-ing Space	Cost Estimate	Proj vs Oper Cost	Alter-natives	Op-tions	Total Points
107	Southeast Island	Port Protection Gym Relocation/Foundation	0.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	2.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.33	4.67	3.67	3.00	4.00	0.00	16.33	4.00	8.33	14.00	0.00	3.00	7.33	122.63
108	Fairbanks	North Pole Middle School Interior Renovations	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	0.00	12.33	5.33	0.67	11.33	2.67	0.33	7.00	122.61
109	Lower Kuskokwim	L. Angapak Memorial K-12 Deferred Maintenance Completion, Tuntutuliak	0.00	10.47	0.00	0.00	3.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	0.00	12.67	5.00	0.33	12.00	4.67	4.00	10.00	122.51
110	Mat-Su Borough	Administration Building Generator Replacement	12.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	2.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.00	5.00	1.33	1.67	5.00	0.00	12.00	5.33	0.00	11.33	1.67	0.33	5.00	122.39
111	Juneau City Borough	Riverbend Elementary Roof Repairs & Site Improvements	12.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	3.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	5.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	5.00	0.00	10.67	5.00	1.67	8.67	2.67	4.33	11.00	122.25
112	Denali Borough	Anderson School Septic Leachfield Replacement Completion	27.00	16.29	0.00	10.00	2.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	0.00	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.00	3.67	0.00	10.33	4.67	0.67	11.00	0.00	0.00	3.33	121.91
113	Southeast Island	Gym Lighting Upgrade, 2 Schools	12.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	2.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.33	4.67	3.67	3.00	4.00	0.00	12.33	8.67	0.67	10.00	7.67	2.00	8.33	121.79
114	Anchorage	Security System Upgrades, 3 High Schools	0.00	17.94	0.00	10.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	12.67	6.33	0.00	7.00	7.33	0.00	7.00	121.30
115	Fairbanks	Weller Lighting Upgrade	3.00	11.25	0.00	0.00	3.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	0.00	12.67	5.67	0.67	12.00	5.33	0.33	7.33	121.19
116	Fairbanks	Salcha Playground Upgrades	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	0.00	12.00	6.33	0.00	10.33	0.33	0.33	7.67	119.94
117	Dillingham City	Dillingham High School/Middle School HVAC Distribution & Controls	30.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	2.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	4.00	3.00	2.67	2.67	3.67	0.00	13.00	5.67	1.00	9.67	5.00	0.00	6.33	119.55
118	Lower Kuskokwim	Districtwide Fuel Tank Upgrades	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	0.00	10.33	5.00	0.00	9.33	0.00	4.00	7.33	119.38
119	Kuspuk	Aniak, Sleetmute, Red Devil Schools Heating System Replacements	30.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	2.91	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.33	1.33	12.33	8.33	0.00	14.67	7.67	0.00	10.67	119.24
120	Anchorage	Traffic Safety Upgrades, 3 Elementary Schools	0.00	20.00	0.00	10.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	12.67	6.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	6.67	118.35
121	Hoonah City	Hoonah Schools Major Maintenance	27.00	15.82	0.00	0.00	3.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	0.00	3.00	3.33	2.33	2.67	3.33	0.00	11.00	6.00	0.00	11.67	4.67	0.33	9.00	118.17
122	Juneau City Borough	District Maintenance Facility Renovation	6.00	9.88	0.00	0.00	3.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	5.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	5.00	0.00	11.67	6.00	0.00	12.33	3.33	3.33	9.33	118.13
123	Lower Kuskokwim	Qugcuun Memorial School Deferred Maintenance Completion, Oscarville	0.00	14.06	0.00	0.00	3.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	0.00	12.00	3.67	0.00	12.00	0.33	4.00	8.67	118.11
124	Juneau City Borough	Mendenhall River Elementary Renovation	9.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	3.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	5.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	5.00	0.00	11.67	2.67	1.33	12.00	2.00	4.33	8.67	117.92
125	Anchorage	Districtwide Mechanical Upgrades, 5 Schools	0.00	20.00	0.00	10.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	12.33	5.00	0.00	9.00	1.33	0.00	7.00	117.69
126	Southeast Island	Port Alexander Domestic Water System Pipe Replacement	6.00	11.16	0.00	0.00	2.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.33	4.67	3.67	3.00	4.00	0.00	11.67	10.67	0.00	12.00	0.00	2.67	6.33	117.63

**Alaska Department of Education and Early Development
Capital Improvement Projects (FY2008)
Major Maintenance Grant Fund
Total Points - Objective and Subjective
Final List**

Pri. #	School District	Project Name	School Dist Rank	Weight Avg. Age	Prev. 14.11 Fund	Plan and Design	Avg Expend Maint	Un-Housed Today	Un-housed 7 Years	Type of Space	Adeq Fixed Asset	Maint Labor	Maint Type	Maint Mgt	Energy Mgt	Cusd Pgm	Maint Train	Capital Plan	Emerg-ency	Adeq Doc	Life/Safety and Code Conditions	Exist-ing Space	Cost Esti-mate	Proj vs Oper Cost	Alter-natives	Op-tions	Total Points
127	Iditarod Area	Blackwell School Shower & Bathroom Repair Completion, Anvik	24.00	16.25	0.00	10.00	3.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	1.33	1.33	1.67	2.67	0.00	14.00	10.00	0.67	17.33	0.33	2.33	0.67	117.60
128	Lower Kuskokwim	Mechanical Control Upgrades, 16 Schools	0.00	10.71	0.00	0.00	3.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	0.00	9.00	3.00	0.00	9.67	9.00	4.67	7.00	116.42
129	Lower Kuskokwim	Generator Replacement, 5 Schools	0.00	12.44	0.00	0.00	3.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	0.00	12.67	5.33	0.00	11.33	0.00	3.00	7.67	115.82
130	Petersburg City	Elementary & Covered Entrances & Sidewalks Re-Siding, Petersburg	30.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	2.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	0.00	3.00	2.33	2.00	1.67	2.33	0.00	12.67	8.67	0.00	11.67	2.00	1.00	0.33	115.64
131	Anchorage	Emergency Communications Systems, 7 Middle Schools	0.00	18.61	0.00	10.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	11.33	6.33	0.00	8.33	0.67	0.00	6.67	114.97
132	Southeast Island	Districtwide Roof Replacement, 3 Schools	9.00	4.98	0.00	0.00	2.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.33	4.67	3.67	3.00	4.00	0.00	12.00	13.00	0.00	11.67	0.67	0.00	6.33	114.77
133	Yukon Flats	Cruikshank School Soil Remediation & Fuel Tank Replacement, Beaver	18.00	7.50	0.00	0.00	2.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	2.00	1.33	1.67	1.33	2.67	0.00	13.33	11.67	0.00	9.33	0.67	0.00	7.33	114.43
134	Lower Kuskokwim	MikeInguut Elitnaurviat Elementary & Bethel Regional High School Drainage Upgrades	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	0.00	9.00	2.00	0.00	7.67	0.67	3.67	7.67	114.05
135	Petersburg City	Roofing, Ventilation & Electrical Upgrades	24.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	2.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	0.00	3.00	2.33	2.00	1.67	2.33	0.00	11.33	7.00	3.33	14.33	0.00	2.33	2.33	113.97
136	Fairbanks	Districtwide Safety Communication Systems Upgrades	0.00	11.22	0.00	0.00	3.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	0.00	11.67	4.67	0.00	12.00	3.00	0.33	7.00	112.83
137	Anchorage	Districtwide Electrical Projects, 6 Schools	0.00	17.71	0.00	10.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	10.67	4.33	0.00	10.33	0.00	0.00	6.67	112.74
138	Fairbanks	Badger Road Repair/Refinish Building Exterior	0.00	11.25	0.00	0.00	3.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	0.00	12.00	6.33	0.00	11.33	0.33	0.33	8.00	112.53
139	Yukon Flats	Stevens Village Soil Remediation & Fuel Tank Replacement	15.00	6.75	0.00	0.00	2.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	2.00	1.33	1.67	1.33	2.67	0.00	11.33	11.67	0.00	11.00	1.00	0.00	8.00	111.34
140	Mat-Su Borough	Houston Middle School Roof Repair	15.00	7.50	0.00	0.00	2.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.00	5.00	1.33	1.67	5.00	0.00	12.00	8.00	0.00	11.00	1.67	0.33	1.00	111.22
141	Iditarod Area	Shageluk Tank Farm Completion	15.00	6.75	0.00	30.00	3.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	1.33	1.33	1.67	2.67	4.67	10.67	7.67	0.00	9.67	0.33	0.33	4.00	111.10
142	Anchorage	Roof Replacement & Structural Upgrades, 3 Schools	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	10.00	10.33	0.00	8.00	2.67	0.00	7.00	111.02
143	Anchorage	Sprinklers/Hazardous Materials/ADA Compliance, 2 Schools	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	9.67	9.33	0.00	8.67	1.67	1.00	7.33	110.69
144	Kuspuk	Auntie Mary Nicoli Elementary School, Renovation, Aniak	24.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	2.91	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.33	0.00	11.00	6.67	6.33	12.67	3.67	3.00	8.33	109.91
145	Petersburg City	Districtwide Lighting Upgrades	27.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	2.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	0.00	3.00	2.33	2.00	1.67	2.33	0.00	9.33	2.67	2.00	11.67	2.67	0.67	3.00	108.30
146	Anchorage	Gladys Wood Elementary Renovation Design	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	8.67	7.00	2.67	7.67	1.00	0.67	7.00	107.69
147	Southeast Island	Generator Replacement, 2 Schools	3.00	9.48	0.00	0.00	2.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.33	4.67	3.67	3.00	4.00	0.00	10.67	7.33	0.00	10.67	0.00	2.33	7.00	107.61

**Alaska Department of Education and Early Development
Capital Improvement Projects (FY2008)
Major Maintenance Grant Fund
Total Points - Objective and Subjective
Final List**

Pri. #	School District	Project Name	School Dist Rank	Weight Avg. Age	Prev. 14.11 Fund	Plan and Design	Avg Expend Maint	Un-Housed Today	Un-housed 7 Years	Type of Space	Adeq Fixed Asset	Maint Labor	Maint Type	Maint Mgt	Energy Mgt	Cusd Pgm	Maint Train	Capital Plan	Emerg-ency	Adeq Doc	Life/Safety and Code Conditions	Exist-ing Space	Cost Estimate	Proj vs Oper Cost	Alter-natives	Op-tions	Total Points
148	Anchorage	Emergency Communications Systems, 3 High Schools	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	11.33	6.33	0.00	9.00	0.33	0.00	6.67	106.69
149	Iditarod Area	Nikolai Generator Project	30.00	15.00	0.00	0.00	3.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	1.33	1.33	1.67	2.67	7.00	11.33	8.67	0.00	9.00	0.33	0.00	3.33	106.68
150	Anchorage	Sprinklers/Hazardous Materials/ADA Compliance, 6 Schools	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	9.33	6.67	0.00	8.00	2.67	0.00	6.67	106.35
151	Anchorage	Districtwide Mechanical Upgrades, 15 Schools	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	10.00	7.00	0.00	8.33	1.33	0.00	6.67	106.35
152	Southeast Island	Thorne Bay Kitchen Upgrade	0.00	5.25	0.00	0.00	2.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.33	4.67	3.67	3.00	4.00	0.00	10.33	8.00	2.67	11.33	0.00	3.33	7.00	105.04
153	Mat-Su Borough	Wasilla High School Security System Upgrade	0.00	18.07	0.00	0.00	2.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.00	5.00	1.33	1.67	5.00	0.00	11.33	6.00	0.00	11.33	1.00	0.33	1.00	103.79
154	Anchorage	Districtwide General Building Renewal Project, 11 Schools	0.00	18.22	0.00	0.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	9.33	6.33	0.00	8.00	1.67	0.00	6.33	102.91
155	Anchorage	Districtwide Electrical Projects, 14 Schools	0.00	18.08	0.00	0.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	10.67	5.67	0.00	7.67	1.00	0.00	6.67	102.76
156	Iditarod Area	David Louis Memorial School Emergency Repairs & Boiler Relocation Completion, Grayling	18.00	20.00	0.00	10.00	3.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	1.33	1.33	1.67	2.67	0.67	14.33	6.67	0.00	9.33	0.00	0.33	0.67	102.01
157	Anchorage	Districtwide General Building Renewal Projects, 10 Schools	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	9.67	3.33	0.00	7.67	0.33	0.00	6.33	100.35
158	Anchorage	Districtwide Traffic Safety Upgrades, 4 Schools	0.00	12.23	0.00	0.00	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	12.00	6.33	0.00	9.00	0.00	0.00	7.00	99.58
159	Mat-Su Borough	Trapper Creek School Roof Repairs	0.00	8.75	0.00	0.00	2.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	4.00	5.00	1.33	1.67	5.00	0.00	12.00	8.33	0.00	11.67	1.67	0.33	0.67	98.14
160	Iditarod Area	McGrath School/Admin Window Replacement	0.00	20.00	0.00	30.00	3.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	1.33	1.33	1.67	2.67	0.00	11.00	3.67	0.33	8.00	1.33	0.00	0.67	97.01
161	Iditarod Area	McGrath Library/Media Center Flooring Upgrade	6.00	12.50	0.00	30.00	3.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	1.33	1.33	1.67	2.67	0.00	10.67	4.67	0.00	9.00	0.33	0.00	0.33	95.51
162	Kenai Peninsula	HVAC Upgrades, 7 Schools	30.00	14.03	0.00	0.00	2.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	1.67	4.00	0.00	3.00	0.00	9.00	5.00	0.00	8.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	89.56
163	Iditarod Area	Districtwide Lighting Upgrades	0.00	11.45	0.00	0.00	3.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	1.33	1.33	1.67	2.67	0.00	11.00	4.67	0.67	12.00	16.33	0.00	7.00	85.13
164	Iditarod Area	Takotna Heating System Upgrade	21.00	7.50	0.00	0.00	3.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	1.33	1.33	1.67	2.67	0.00	12.00	8.00	0.33	9.00	1.67	0.67	0.67	82.85
165	Iditarod Area	Innoko River School Fire Supression/Tank Upgrade, Shageluk	3.00	6.75	0.00	0.00	3.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	1.33	1.33	1.67	2.67	0.00	11.00	7.33	0.00	9.33	0.33	0.33	7.00	67.10