

Adopted by the Bond Reimbursement and Grant Review Committee

Project Title: \_\_\_\_\_

CIP ID Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Category: \_\_\_\_\_

Ineligible: \_\_\_\_\_

Formula Driven Scoring Criteria		School Construction A, B, F	Major Maintenance C, D, E
<b>1. Preventive maintenance program</b> (Questions 9b - 9d, 9f)			
A. Detailed summary reports of maintenance labor parameters (9b)	15 points	<u>/15</u>	<u>/15</u>
B. Detailed summary reports of PM/corrective maintenance parameters (9c)	10 points	<u>/10</u>	<u>/10</u>
C. The 5-year average expenditure for maintenance divided by the 5-year average insured replacement value, district wide. (9d)	5 points	<u>/5</u>	<u>/5</u>
If % < 4, then (% x 1.25); If % > 4, then 5			
D. Energy consumption reports (9f)	5 points	<u>/5</u>	<u>/5</u>
<b>2. District ranking</b> (Question 3a)		<u>/30</u>	<u>/30</u>
Only eligible project requests are used to calculate ranking points			
Project #1 request = 30 points, #2 = 27 points, #3 = 24 points,			
Each additional project 3 points less			
<b>3. Weighted average age of facility</b> (Question 3b)		<u>/30</u>	<u>/30</u>
A. 0-10 years = 0 points			
B. > 10 ≤20 years = .5 / year in excess of 10 years			
C. > 20 ≤30 years = 5 + .75 per year in excess of 20 years			
D. >30≤40 years = 12.5 + 1.75 per year in excess of 30 years			
E. > 40 years = 30 points			
<b>4. Condition/Component Survey</b> (Question 6a)		<u>/10</u>	<u>/10</u>
Condition survey = 0, 3, 5, 8, or 10 points			
<b>5. Use of Prior Design Plans or Buildings System Design</b> (Questions 6b-6c)			
A. Prior Design Plan (school construction only) (6b) = 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, or 10 points OR		<u>/10</u>	<u>/10</u>
B. District standard = Two points each system: Building Envelope, Plumbing, HVAC, Lighting, Power			
<b>6. Planning &amp; design phase has been completed</b> (Question 6d-6g and Appendix B)		<u>/25</u>	<u>/25</u>
A. All required elements of planning = 10 points			
B. All elements planning + required elements of schematic design = 20 points			
C. All elements of planning and schematics + required elements of design development = 25 points			
<b>7. Previous AS 14.11 funding for this project</b> (Questions 8e & 7a)		<u>/30</u>	<u>/30</u>
Previous funding = 30 points, No previous funding = 0 points			
<b>8. Unhoused students today</b> (Questions 5a-5g)		<u>/50</u>	<u>N/A</u>
A. 100 % of capacity = 0 points			
B. > 100% of capacity = One point for each 3% of excess capacity			
C. 250 % of capacity = 50 points			
<b>9. Unhoused students in seven years (5 year Post-occupancy)</b> (Questions 5a-5g)		<u>/30</u>	<u>N/A</u>
Unhoused due to loss of eligible square footage based on external environmental factors is scored at half of the points identified.			
A. 100 % of capacity = 0 points			
B. > 100% of capacity = One point for each 5% of excess capacity			
C. 250 % of capacity = 30 points			
<b>10. Type of space added or improved</b> (Question 5j)		<u>/30</u>	<u>N/A</u>
A. Instructional or resource	30 points		
B. Support teaching	25 points		
C. Food service, recreational, and general support	15 points		
D. Supplemental	10 points		
<b>Formula-Driven</b>		<b>Total Points</b>	
		<b>/280</b>	<b>/170</b>

**Alaska Department of Education & Early Development**  
**Capital Improvement Project Application**  
**Evaluative Rating Form**  
**Formula-Driven Rating Form**

Adopted by the Bond Reimbursement and Grant Review Committee

District: \_\_\_\_\_  
Fund: \_\_\_\_\_  
Rater: \_\_\_\_\_  
Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Title: \_\_\_\_\_  
CIP ID Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Category: \_\_\_\_\_  
Ineligible: \_\_\_\_\_

*Note: Points for elements two through eight will be weighted to apply to each specific category of a mixed-scope project.*

Evaluative Scoring Criteria	School Construction A, B, F	Major Maintenance C, D, E
<b>1. Effectiveness of preventive maintenance program (Question 9)</b>		
A. Maintenance Management Narrative (9a)	_____/5	_____/5
B. Energy Management Narrative (9e)	_____/5	_____/5
C. Custodial Narrative (9g)	_____/5	_____/5
D. Maintenance Training Narrative (9h)	_____/5	_____/5
E. Capital Planning Narrative (9i)	_____/5	_____/5
<b>2. Seriousness of life/safety and code conditions (Question 4a)</b>	_____/50	_____/50
<b>3. Reasonableness &amp; completeness of cost or cost estimate (Questions 7a-7c)</b>	_____/30	_____/30
<b>4. Emergency conditions (Question 8a)</b> Did application check "yes"? <input type="checkbox"/> Did discussion support emergency status? <input type="checkbox"/>	_____/50	_____/50
<b>5. Existing space fails to meet or inadequately serves existing or proposed elementary or secondary programs (Question 8b)</b>	_____/40	_____/5+
<b>6. Thoroughness in considering a full range of options for the project (Question 8c)</b>	_____/25	_____/25
<b>7. Relationship of the project cost to the annual operational cost savings (Question 8d)</b>	_____/30	_____/30
<b>8. Thoroughness in considering use of alternative facilities to meet the needs of the project (Question 5g)</b>	_____/5	N/A
<b>Evaluative Total Points</b>	<b>/255</b>	<b>/215</b>