

**Alaska Department of Education & Early Development
Capital Improvement Project Application
Formula-Driven Rating Form**

Adopted by the Bond Reimbursement and Grant Review Committee

District: _____ Project Title: _____
Fund: _____
Rater: _____ CIP ID Number: _____ Category: _____
Date: _____ Ineligible: _____

Formula Driven Scoring Criteria	School Construction A, B, F	Major Maintenance C, D, E
1. Preventive maintenance program (Questions 9b - 9d, 9f)		
A. Detailed summary reports of maintenance labor parameters (9b) 15 points	<u> /15 </u>	<u> /15 </u>
B. Detailed summary reports of PM/corrective maintenance parameters (9c) 10 points	<u> /10 </u>	<u> /10 </u>
C. The 5-year average expenditure for maintenance divided by the 5-year average insured replacement value, district wide. (9d) 5 points If % < 4, then (% x 1.25) If % > 4, then 5	<u> /5 </u>	<u> /5 </u>
D. Energy consumption reports (9f) 5 points	<u> /5 </u>	<u> /5 </u>
2. District ranking (Question 3a) Only eligible project requests are used to calculate ranking points Project #1 request = 30 points, #2 = 27 points, #3 = 24 points, Each additional project 3 points less	<u> /30 </u>	<u> /30 </u>
3. Weighted average age of facility (Question 3b)	<u> /30 </u>	<u> /30 </u>
A. 0-10 years = 0 points		
B. > 10 ≤20 years = .5 / year in excess of 10 years		
C. > 20 ≤30 years = 5 + .75 per year in excess of 20 years		
D. >30≤40 years = 12.5 + 1.75 per year in excess of 30 years		
E. > 40 years = 30 points		
4. Condition/Component Survey (Question 6a) Condition survey = 0, 3, 5, 8, or 10 points	<u> /10 </u>	<u> /10 </u>
5. Use of Prior Design Plans (Question 6b) Prior Design Plan = 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, or 10 points	<u> /10 </u>	<u> N/A </u>
6. Use of Prior Building System Design (Question 6c) 10 points A. District standard = Two points each system: Building Envelope, Plumbing, HVAC, Lighting, Power	<u> /10 </u>	<u> /10 </u>
7. Planning & design phase has been completed (Question 6d-6g and Appendix B)	<u> /25 </u>	<u> /25 </u>
A. All required elements of planning = 10 points		
B. All elements planning + required elements of schematic design = 20 points		
C. All elements of planning and schematics + required elements of design development = 25 points		
8. Previous AS 14.11 funding for this project (Questions 8e & 7a) Previous funding = 30 points, No previous funding = 0 points	<u> /30 </u>	<u> /30 </u>
9. Unhoused students today (Questions 5a-5g)	<u> /50 </u>	<u> N/A </u>
A. 100 % of capacity = 0 points		
B. > 100% of capacity = One point for each 3% of excess capacity		
C. 250 % of capacity = 50 points		
10. Unhoused students in seven years (5 year Post-occupancy) (Questions 5a-5g)	<u> /30 </u>	<u> N/A </u>
A. 100 % of capacity = 0 points		
B. > 100% of capacity = One point for each 5% of excess capacity		
C. 250 % of capacity = 30 points		
11. Type of space added or improved (Question 5j)	<u> /30 </u>	<u> N/A </u>
A. Instructional or resource 30 points		
B. Support teaching 25 points		
C. Food service, recreational, and general support 15 points		
D. Supplemental 10 points		
Formula-Driven	Total Points	
	<u> /290 </u>	<u> /170 </u>

**Alaska Department of Education & Early Development
Capital Improvement Project Application
Evaluative Rating Form
Formula-Driven Rating Form**

Adopted by the Bond Reimbursement and Grant Review Committee

District: _____ Project Title: _____
Fund: _____
Rater: _____ CIP ID Number: _____ Category: _____
Date: _____ Ineligible: _____

Note: Points for elements two through eight will be weighted to apply to each specific category of a mixed-scope project.

Evaluative Scoring Criteria	School Construction A, B, F	Major Maintenance C, D, E
1. Effectiveness of preventive maintenance program (Question 9)		
A. Maintenance Management Narrative (9a)	_____/5	_____/5
B. Energy Management Narrative (9e)	_____/5	_____/5
C. Custodial Narrative (9g)	_____/5	_____/5
D. Maintenance Training Narrative (9h)	_____/5	_____/5
E. Capital Planning Narrative (9i)	_____/5	_____/5
2. Seriousness of life/safety and code conditions (Question 4a)	_____/50	_____/50
3. Reasonableness & completeness of cost or cost estimate (Questions 7a-7c)	_____/30	_____/30
4. Emergency conditions (Question 8a) Did application check "yes"? <input type="checkbox"/> Did discussion support emergency status? <input type="checkbox"/>	_____/50	_____/50
5. Existing space fails to meet or inadequately serves existing or proposed elementary or secondary programs (Question 8b)	_____/40	_____/5+
6. Thoroughness in considering a full range of options for the project (Question 8c)	_____/25	_____/25
7. Relationship of the project cost to the annual operational cost savings (Question 8d)	_____/30	_____/30
8. Thoroughness in considering use of alternative facilities to meet the needs of the project (Question 5g)	_____/5	N/A
Evaluative	Total Points	
	/255	/215